Exhibit (A)

Formal challenge to the twelve presumptions of law

By (compound fact title)……

On this ..... day (insert day, month and year in digits i.e 11th day of the 11 year of 2022 and delete this line) the ……of ……202….
and IN – THE – NOW

Formal challenge to the twelve presumptions of law
Definition of presumption:
http://www.oxforddictionaries.com/definition/english/presumption

1. An idea that is taken to be true on the basis of probability: As a presumption, is a presumption on which must be agreed by the parties, to be true. THEN and EQUALLY If one party challenges the presumption to be true on the basis of probability. Then this is all that is recognised to be required to remove the presumption is a formal challenge to that presumption. The presumption then has no standing or merit in FACT. A probability:
http://www.oxforddictionaries.com/definition/american_english/probability
1. The extent to which something is probable; the likelihood of something happening or being the case: By definition then this is not substantive as it is only a probability of whatmay be and therefore has no substance in material FACT.

A State Court does not operate according to any true rule of law, but by presumptions of the law. Therefore, if presumptions presented by the private Bar Guild are not rebutted they become fact and are therefore said to stand true.

There are twelve (12) key presumptions asserted by the private Bar Guilds which if unchallenged stand true being Public Record, Public Service, Public Oath, Immunity, Summons, Custody, Court of Guardians, Court of Trustees, Government as Executor/Beneficiary, Agent and Agency, Incompetence, and Guilt:

(i) The Presumption of Public Record is that any matter brought before a Constitutional Court is a matter for the public record when in fact it is presumed by the members of the private Bar Guild that the matter is a private Bar Guild business matter. Unless openly rebuked and rejected by stating clearly the matter is to be on the Public Record, the matter remains a private Bar Guild matter completely under private Bar Guild rules; I, ……the undersigned formally challenge the Presumption of Public Record as it is by definition a presumption by definition and has no standing or merit in presentable or material fact.

(ii) The Presumption of Public Service is that all the members of the Private Bar Guild who have all sworn a solemn secret absolute oath to their Guild then act as public agents of the Government, or —public officials by making additional oaths of public office that openly and

deliberately contradict their private "superior" oaths to their own Guild. Unless openly rebuked and rejected, the claim stands that these private Bar Guild members are legitimate public servants and therefore trustees under public oath; I,..... the undersigned formally challenge the Presumption of Public Service: as it is by definition a presumption, by definition and has no standing or merit in presentable or material fact.

(iii) The Presumption of Public Oath: is that all members of the Private Bar Guild acting in the capacity of "public officials" who have sworn a solemn public oath remain bound by that oath and therefore bound to serve honestly, impartiality and fairly as dictated by their oath. Unless openly challenged and demanded, the presumption stands that the Private Bar Guild members have functioned under their public oath in contradiction to their Guild oath. If challenged, such individuals must recuse themselves as having a conflict of interest and cannot possibly stand under a public oath;
I,..... the undersigned formally challenge the Presumption of Public Oath as it is by definition a presumption, by definition and has no standing or merit in presentable or material fact.

(iv) The Presumption of Immunity: is that key members of the Private Bar Guild in the capacity of "public officials" acting as judges, prosecutors and magistrates who have sworn a solemn public oath in good faith are immune from personal claims of injury and liability. Unless openly challenged and their oath demanded, the presumption stands that the members of the Private Bar Guild as public trustees acting as judges, prosecutors and magistrates are

immune from any personal accountability for their actions; We, the undersigned formally challenge the Presumption of Immunity as it is by definition a presumption, by definition and has no standing or merit in presentable or material fact.

(v) The Presumption of Summons is that by custom asummons unrebutted stands and therefore one who attends Court is presumed to accept a position (defendant, juror, witness) and jurisdiction of the court. Attendance to court is usually invitation by summons. Unless the summons is rejected and returned, with a copy of the rejection filed prior to choosing to visit or attend, jurisdiction and position as the accused and the existence of "guilt" stands; I,..... the undersigned formally challenge the Presumption of Summons as it is by definition a presumption, by definition and has no standing or merit in presentable or material fact.

(vi) The Presumption of Custody: is that by custom a summons or warrant for arrest ,unrebutted ,stands and therefore one who attends Court is presumed to be a thing and therefore liable to be detained in custody by "Custodians". Custodians may only lawfully hold custody of property and "things" not flesh and blood soul possessing beings. Unless this presumption is openly challenged by rejection of summons and/or at court, the presumption stands you are a thing and property and therefore lawfully able to be kept in custody by custodians; We, the undersigned formally challenge the Presumption of Custody as it is by definition a presumption, by definition and has no standing or merit in presentable or material fact.

(vii) The Presumption of Court of Guardians: is the presumption that as you may be listed as a "resident" of a ward of a local government area and have listed on your "passport" the letter P, you are a pauper and therefore under the "Guardian" powers of the government and its agents as a "Court of Guardians". Unless this presumption is openly challenged to demonstrate you are both a general guardian and general executor of the matter (trust) before the court, the presumption stands and you are by default a pauper, and lunatic and therefore must obey the rules of the clerk of guardians (clerk of magistrates court); I,..... .....the undersigned formally challenge the Presumption of Guardians as it is by definition a presumption, by definition and has no standing or merit in presentable or material fact.

(viii) The Presumption of Court of Trustees: is that members of the Private Bar Guild presume you accept the office of trustee as a "public servant" and "government employee" just by attending a Roman Court, as such Courts are always for public trustees by the rules of the Guild and the Roman System. Unless this presumption is openly challenged to state you are merely visiting by "invitation" to clear up the matter and you are not a government employee or public trustee in this instance, the presumption stands and is assumed as one of the most significant reasons to claim jurisdiction - simply because you "appeared"; I,..... the undersigned formally challenge the Presumption of Trustees as it is by definition a presumption, by definition and has no standing or merit in presentable or material fact.

(ix) The Presumption of Government: acting in two roles

as Executor and Beneficiary is that for the matter at hand, the Private Bar Guild appoints the judge/magistrate in the capacity of Executor while the Prosecutor acts in the capacity of Beneficiary of the trust for the current matter. if the accused does seek to assert their right as Executor and Beneficiary over their body, mind and soul they are acting as an Executor De Son Tort or a "false executor" challenging the "rightful" judge as Executor. Therefore, the judge/magistrate assumes the role of "true" executor and has the right to have you arrested, detained, fined or forced into a psychiatric evaluation. Unless this presumption is openly challenged to demonstrate you are both the true general guardian and general executor of the matter (trust) before the court, questioning and challenging whether the judge or magistrate is seeking to act as Executor De Son Tort, the presumption stands and you are by default the trustee, therefore must obey the rules of the executor (judge/magistrate) or you are an Executor De Son Tort and a judge or magistrate of the private Bar guild may seek to assistance of bailiffs or sheriffs to assert their false claim against you; We, the undersigned formally challenge the Presumption of Government acting in two roles as Executor and Beneficiary as it is by definition a presumption, by definition and has no standing or merit in presentable or material fact.

(x) The Presumption of Agent and Agency: is the presumption that under contract law you have expressed and granted authority to the Judge and Magistrate through the statement of such words as "recognize, understand" or "comprehend" and therefore agree to be bound to a contract. Therefore, unless all presumptions of agent appointment are rebutted through the use of such

formal rejections as "I do not recognize you", to remove all implied or expressed appointment of the judge, prosecutor
or clerk as agents, the presumption stands and you agree to be contractually bound to perform at the direction of the judge or magistrate; I,..... the undersigned formally challenge the Presumption of Agent and Agency as it is by definition a presumption, by definition and has no standing or merit in presentable or material fact.

(xi) The Presumption of Incompetence: is the presumption that you are at least ignorant of the law, therefore incompetent to present yourself and argue properly. Therefore, the judge/magistrate as executor has the right to have you arrested, detained, fined or forced into a psychiatric evaluation. Unless this presumption is openly challenged to the fact that you know your position as executor and beneficiary and actively rebuke and object to any contrary presumptions, then it stands by the time of pleading that you are incompetent then the judge or magistrate can do what they need to keep you obedient; I,.. ..... the undersigned formally challenge the Presumption of Incompetence as it is by definition a presumption, by definition and has no standing or merit in presentable or material fact.

(xii) The Presumption of Guilt is the presumption that as it is presumed to be a private business meeting of the Bar Guild, you are guilty whether you plead "guilty", do not plead or plead "not guilty". Therefore unless you either have previously prepared an affidavit of truth and motion to dismiss with extreme prejudice onto the public record or call a demurrer, then the presumption is you are guilty and the

private Bar Guild can hold you until a bond is prepared to guarantee the amount the guild wants to profit from you.

I,…… the undersigned formally challenge the Presumption of Guilt as it is by definition a presumption, by definition and has no standing or merit in presentable or material fact. I,……formally challenge all presumptions of law and as we have formally challenged all the twelve presumptions of law then the presumption of law formally has no substance in material FACT. (Recognised By Achievement) Parra Legal by the demonstrated knowledge at court tribunal. (Case . David Ward and Warrington Borough council 30th Day of May 2013. Case No WI-05257F) I, …… will recognise the rule of law, when and only when there is the material evidence of that assumed rule of law has some material evidence of substance in presentable material fact.

Until then the search for the rule of law that has some credibility in material fact: continues. It is done. Without ill will or vexation. For and on behalf of the principal legal embodiment by the title of MR/MRS……

For and on behalf of I, (compound fact title) …… ……. All rights reserved .Without Ill Will, Vexation.in the matter of (cite any reference of summons/fine/claim)…. ……

(To be served upon all offices eg Courts, Police, Councils by recorded delivery post, and stored and kept as evidence of the Full rebuttal of the 12 Presumptions of Law served to all offices,The Executive (government at 10, Downing Street London, Local Metropolitan District Council , and The Local Magistrates and Crown Court, The Driver Vehicle and Licencing Authority, any Employer) at the earliest

opportunity even though no proceedings have or may have commenced) to be produced as evidence at any time

This day the ……of ……202

Autographed…… (thumb print across in purple and remove this line and brackets)

Print……

Witness: …… (thumb print across in purple and remove this line and brackets)

Print……

This day the ……of ……202

I, ……shall attend to clear up the matter in issue as a LIVE BEING to rebut any claims made by the 12 Presumptions of law where it will be required to prove with material fact proof of substance that the claims made by any party are as FAC, LAW.

Warning: By the above, rebutting and rebuking, by any party it is that by breaking the Superior Statutes of The Coronation Oaths Act 1688 and Bill of Rights 1689 and that the bill of Rights is confirmed as Valid today by Lords

Bingham, Steyn and Scott in an appeal before the House of Lord in, Thoburn V Sunderland Council does so commit an

indictable offence Ref: Archbold 2006 Chapter 1-6 publisher Sweet and Maxwell.

In "void Orders " compiled by judgments of higher courts Ref http://opg.me/THE%20VOID%20ORDER.pdf "where a party breaks the law everything after is VOID this means liability orders made on an application by any council statutory officer etc to facilitate a court of acting privately for the Bar Guild will all be liable in both Criminal and civil claims against them; All orders will be void as in Bellinger v Beellinger. The indictment being to disobey a statute ref above and acting in conflict of interests when under TWO OATHS when illegally causing a "forfeiture " without conviction as only a court of record may undertake Trials (this removes the Magistrates court Act 1980).

This rebuttal and rebuking of Private bar guild courts and the cries being openly committed demonstrates competence and therefore the dead legal fiction is not present. The matter now is not for any corporation to bring a claim only an individual may bring the claim with full liability in both criminal and civil ,be they Judge (any bar guild judge CAN and WILL BE PROSECUTED , also any Statutory officer CAN and WILL BE PROSECUTED also any CORPORATE POLICE OFFICER WILL BE PROSECUTED and where any claim is made against them they are recused and any action after that point is VOID (see void orders) Living beings CANNOT HEAR OR SEE THE DEAD and legally that is Statutory Officers, Judges, police officers as they are "persons" and legislation only applies to PERSONS (legal definition of person, DEAD LEGAL FICTION that cannot hear, speak or communicate . The Living cannot hear dead legal entity Judges ,Police officers or Statutory officers or accept what the dead create).

Any issued summons to any corporate bar private court will be rejected as no living entity can be a DEAD LEGAL FICTION and any claims and prosecutions against a living entity will be directed at equal living entity.


We now remove from the clerk of the court at …… …… all trust placed in them and impose The Theft act 1968 S 5 (2) demanding the property of the Assured Party Creditors is returned and removed from the parties in control of it by (date)…… Any party found to be present in the building known as ……after that date will be in Criminal trespass and arrested or removed in accordance with McKenzie V Vaughn (Vaughan v McKenzie [1969] 1 QB 557) this applies to the claim of ownership of the building known as …… ……where any party trespassing without the permission of the owners will be removed by force. The court named above has been used for Private purposes and in breach of the terms of trust granted by assured party creditors. NOW LEAVE and NEVER RETURN except by constitutionally established process. NOTICE GIVEN This day, The ……Day……of, The month……in the year ……

The following is NOT to be advanced to the recipient eg. court/Judge *Police officer*. Statutory officer etc MP, who advocates the narrative and agenda " ITS THE LAW"

The primary document (PRIMARY 2) has defined and

asserted that all law is presumption and for any party claiming “ITS THE LAW” all of “we the people“ now are armed to rebut with the knowledge of forcing them to PROVE THE FACT that legislation is Law. Law: a rule in a society by consent: if you are not part of that “society” then the laws of that “society” cannot apply to any one who rebuts IN WRITING their rules and stands outside that “society”.

We all know the trickery of dead legal fiction titles e.g. MR/*MRS JOHN/* HARRY/ THOMSON/SMITH *BROWN they exist on all documents that “society “ uses and in turn the rules apply of that society. Meaning NI Number*/Driving Licence/ Birth Certificate /and all mean you are INCOMPETENT and deemed an imbecile. Once Rebutted and the assertion for them to prove the FACT otherwise their PRESUMPTION OF LAW STANDS AS FACT.



ON THE RIGHT COLUMN is the BAR GUILD Operatives and will carry if they are not REBUTTED and made to prove WITH MATERIAL FACT that the people have CONSENTED otherwise it stands as FACT (if you don't rebut) they are accepted as LEGITIMATE and Council Tax Courts have gained LEGITIMACY on the basis that UNTIL REBUTTED THEY STAND AS FACT and thus claim such authority to impose what they like....its all about understanding their trickery and Psychological FRAUD !

The next argument they will present is "well people VOTED for it" READ ON!

The Hypocrisy of the Secret Ballot Elective Process. Do we really have a valid election process? Is Government truly

government by the people for the people? Are we all members of the public?

What are the known observable Facts? What is an election?

An election is where the people elect into office the representatives they wish to represent them into local government and then Parliament. Everybody knows that, we have been doing this for decades. The concept is that we elect of ourselves and that is self-government by the people for the people, it is obvious any fool can see that.

The people elect of themselves and then the people tell the local government what they want and the local government pass this forward to the central government and therefore we have government by the people for the people and all is well. Is this really what happens (EXCEPT THIS IS NOW REVERSED)? The Secret Ballot Is this a valid process? Well we do have a choice of all the elected councillors. Is this a real choice?

The first question would be as to where be the box to place the —X in that states —None of the above? Strange how this option is not present on the Ballot sheet. Nor is the ballot card AUTHENTICATED by THE AUTHOR hence opportunity for corruption by filling in numerous voting cards AFTER these polls close .

Where does this collection of candidates come from in the first place? 95% of the people would not be able to answer this question. Then there is the process itself. The people place an —X in a box to signify a choice. So there is only a Mr or Ms —X who has voted in a secret Ballot. Where is the accountability (authentication by name and address)? Who was it that voted

in this secret Ballot? Well that would be Mr or Mrs —X. What happens to all these Ballot sheets after an secret Ballot? Should they not be kept on and for
the public record? (They are destroyed so no evidence that can be produced in a court is availble and can be brought to prove legally or lawfully elected so they cant PROVE as 650 MPs they are legally and lawfully representing you). But, what would be the point? This is after all a SECRET Ballot.

So the first question is this. Where is the material fact evidence that there has been somebody electedinto office?

If an elected was asked to present the material evidence of the fact that they have been elected. Then.... Where is this material evidence and accountability?

How can the elected prove by presenting physical evidence that they have been elected?

Where is the public record on and for the public record? In which public office or custodian of records produce them if a court case happened .....can this evidence be seen? NO!

Can our current Prime Minister or past Prime Minister, present the material evidence of the fact that he has been elected? No He/She Cannot!

The Un-election Process. What is this? 67.5 million People on this land can tell and know what the elective process is. However, not one of the 67.5 million People can tell or know what the un-election process is! How is this representative of the people's choice?

The fact is there is no process to remove some one from office once they have been elected into office. How is this government by the people for the people where there is no known process to un-elect an officer of the state? Public and the Private.

It is a general consensus of opinion (a presumption) that the people of this land are the public. Is this correct? No, it is not !

Only those in public office and who are paid from the public purse are members of the public. So the general consensus of opinion is incorrect. An opinion is not fact. A belief is not fact. So is a general consensus of opinion a fact? No, it is an opinion. We have searched all the Ordnance Survey Maps for a public road. We did not find one. So where is the material evidence that there is such a thing as a public road or a public highway? There is however designated public foot paths for pedestrians to pass and re-pass as long as the pedestrians do not obstruct the public foot path.

We have also had great difficulty finding the queens /Kings highway. It is a commonly held belief that we have the right to free travel down the queen's highway but for the life of us we cannot find the queen's highway on any Ordnance Survey Maps. We were hoping to locate this queen's highway; as if it has the right to free travel then we could travel this queen's highway without any speed restrictions. Additionally, we could also have charged the queen for travelling expenses as we are travelling on the queen's highway for free as there is always an expense when travelling. But after consulting all of the Ordnance Survey Maps alas, there isn't any queen's highway to be found. So there is no material evidence to support the

people's general consensus of opinion that there is such a thing as the queen's highway.

Therefore, the general consensus of opinion is incorrect. So is there such a thing as a public road? This public road would be a public road if it was a designated public road only for the members of the public (paid members) on the public payroll to drive upon. So which of the roads on this land is a designated public road purely and specifically for the purpose of the public use? The majority of the people are private individuals who are not paid from the public purse. If you are not on the public pay role then you are not a member of the public. Is there such a thing as —The public?

It is quite clear from the Rt. Hon. Sir Jack Beatson speech and graph above ,at the Nottingham and Trent law university and the definition of a state by the London School of Economics that a state is a private company. (Queen HEAD OF STATE which is why the Barons in 2001 received no response and Charles is also a HEAD OF STATE and NOT A MONARCH. (The only monarchial attachment is NOT to the People but solely to the Corporations and remains within that…YOU ARE NOT HIS "SUBJECTS" (hence this is why Blair changed the Oath To an ATTESTATION to the corporation, THE UK Corporation.

The Material evidence of the FACTS which is the material evidence that there is no such thing as public and that the general consensus of opinion is once again incorrect and there is no such thing as public. This is once again a belief and not a fact. So do we have a valid election process and does this have any valid credibility. Quite simply the answer is No.

Let us sum up the facts.

- There is no un-election process.

- Only Mr and Mrs —X have voted (No accountability)

- There is no material evidence to present on and for the public record that there has been an election. (No accountability).

- No elected official in public office can present any material evidence to the fact that they have been elected.

- There is no public office as the office is the office of a private company. THE BAR GUILD.

- The private policy of the private government company that has no authority over anyone, carries no authority or legal obligation under the private company government legal definition of statute where there is a requirement for the legal consent of the governed

- There is no legal obligation for the elected (MPs Government etc to act upon the wishes of the people (No accountability - go on ask your MP for the material fact proof that is ON THE RECORD he/she can't!).

The office of the Judiciary is a sub office to a private company. Do we have an elected government by the people for the people where this government has responsibility and accountability to the people? The answer is No we do not. These are the facts on and for the record. Without ill will or vexation.

So legislation is a corporate rule in THEIR SOCIETY not yours ...stop contributing, there is no such thing as money as the bastards stole all your gold over decades .

These arguments are your presentment to any jury against all their claimed authority?

NO MAN /WOMAN HAS ANY AUTHORITY OVER ANOTHER!

I am done now, I am tired ...my sincere thanks to John Harris, David Robinson and "the gaffer" David Slater RIP you great men....you gave me tools ....they, globally now must join as a LIVING NATIONS and peoples . God Bless you all.
GOD = (add an O) equals GOOD you all are GOD

DEVIL = (remove the D) =EVIL to defeat evil reverse the meaning and word: LIVE and GOOD you all wont go far wrong.....