The Flam of Barnabas' Bible

The author : Father Zakaria Boutros
The publisher: www.fatherzakaria.com

We have published before several books in this series, of them; God is one in holy trinity, the incarnation of Al-mighty God in the immaculate body of the Christ, the issue of crucifixion of the Christ, the issue of the veracity of the holy bible and its non falsification, in this book we will discuss with the grace of God the issue of: Is Barnabas' Bible the true bible?

Actually some Muslims are sticking to a book named Barnabas' Bible, and saying that it is the true bible that was not forged, claiming that the holy bible, we the Christians are using now is a forged bible

This book in your hand we had published to rebut such accusations, strengthened by the definite proofs for its nullification

Asking God to use it for the benefit of many and to enlighten the mind of those who are reading it, Amen

Part one

Introduction to

The spurious Barnabas' Bible

Chapter one

The story of that spurious bible

We can summarize the story of that spurious bible into the following :points

The original version appeared on 1709 AC in Italian language by a (1 man named Kramer, he was a consultant of the King of Prussia

That king gave it as a gift to Prince Augean Savoy, who deposited it (2 in the library of Vienna on 1838 AC; it is still there till now

Dr: George Saiel, the great English scholar (in his English translation (3 of the quran) said: a copy of the spurious Barnabas' Bible in Spanish language contemporary to the Latin ,was written by a man named Mustapha Al-Arnady, saying that he translated it from the original Italian version

N.B: it is well known that the gospels written by the Christ followers were written in Greek language, and not the Italian

It was translated to the Arabic language by Al-Said Khalil Saada on (4 1908 AC

It was published in Egypt by Al-Said Muhammad Rashid Reda (5

Chapter two

The trials of fallacious forgery and allegation

In all the religions

Isn't strange to have a spurious bible? How could someone fabricate a ?bible and claim that it is the true bible

To answer this, we are saying that in all religions there are such trials for scepticizing people in their holy books and religions, in Islam there :is

The spurious quran written by Al-Fadl Bin Al-Rabie that is quite (1 different from the quran in which Muslims believe

The fake intruded and spurious converses that are denied by (2 Muslims

The false prophets who claimed the prophecy during the time of (3 Muhammad like: Musilema the liar, Talha Bin Khoiled and a woman named Rabeh and others

So no wonder to see some fabricators claiming their books to be bibles, actually the bible has nothing to do with them

Chapter three

The reason behind the tenacity of some Muslims

In such spurious book

Some Muslims are tenacious in such spurious bible as being the true :bible for the following reasons

It is saying that the Christ proclaimed that he is not God but he was (1 just a prophet {this was mentioned in page 15, 26, 34, 68, 70, etc}

it mentioned that the Christ was not crucified and God had put his (2 resemblance on Judas , so he was crucified in the place of Jesus, and Jesus was raised by God to heaven { this was mentioned in page 320-322}

It said that the Christ foretold about the coming of Muhammad who (3 will be the Christ {page 77, 161, 85,110,270}

It claimed that the Christ proclaimed that this bible is considered the (4 true bible and the other bible is the spurious bible {page 72,189}

So for those reasons, Some Muslims are tenacious in such spurious !bible and consider it the true bible

Chapter four

The author of that spurious bible

?Who is the author of that spurious bible
The contents of that spurious bible is showing that its writer didn't (1 live in Palestine where the Christ lived as he is ignorant of the nature of that region and also the social live particular to that region

His writings are showing that he lived in Spain as he described the (2 nature in Palestine by the nature surrounding him in Spain

That spurious bible is showing that the writer was a Jewish and not a (3 :Christian as

"In page 103 He said "God loves Israel as a lover +

In page 30 He said that the relatives of the Canaanite woman, the + daughter of whom, was cured by the Christ, all of them embraced the canon of Moses
In page 38 He said that the ruler of the synagogue, the Christ had + cured his servant, had worshiped the God of Israel

You may know from that whereas the personage of the writer of that spurious bible, regardless of his name

Chapter five

The history of writing of that spurious book

Actually dear reader, it is so easy to prove the time of writing of that spurious bible, as Mr: khalil Saada who translated it to the Arabic language on 1908 A.C, he wrote in the introduction of that spurious :bible saying
All the historians agree that Barnabas' Bible was written in the } {intermediary ages

Actually that spurious Barnabas' Bible did not exist till the fourteenth (1 :century as evident from the following

All the Muslim historians till the end of fourteenth century (1 documented that the Christian bible was that written by Matthew, Mark, Luke and John (Moroug Al-Zahab (the prairies of gold) by Abu Al-Hassen Al-Masoudy,part 1, page 161) and the book of the beginning and the end (Al-Bedaya Wa-alnehaya) by Imam Emad El-Din ,part 2 , page 100}, the book of { Al-kawl Al-Abrizy} by the great scholar Ahmed Al-Maqriesy , page 18} and the book of {Al-Tarikh Al-Kamel (the full {history)} by Ibn Alathir , part 1, page 128

This was confirmed by the recent references testifying that the (2 gospels are four, and never mentioning Barnabas' Bible (the youth encyclopedia by Dr; Fatima Muhammad , reviewed by Dr: Muhammad :Khalifa Barakat) as it mentioned that
{The gospels are the first four books of the New Testament}
They are separate books, each of them is telling the life story of the {Christ as narrated by Matthew, Mark, Luke and John
You can notice that it didn't mention that spurious book, named Barnabas' Bible

If this bible attributed to Barnabas was existing prior to the fourteenth century so the ancient annotators like Imam Al-Tabry and Imam Ibn Kathir wouldn't differ in the identity of the person who was crucified , and they wouldn't put such numerous speculations saying that: (3

+ God had put the resemblance of the Christ on one of the disciples named Surges
+ It was said that God had put the resemblance of the Christ on Judas who surrendered him to the Jewish
+ It was said that God had put the resemblance of the Christ on one of the roman soldiers
+ imam Al-Bidawy mentioned that; Titus the Jewish came into a house where Iesa (Jesus) supposed to be there, but he didn't find him and God had put the resemblance of Iesa (Jesus) on him , so when he went out they thought that he was Iesa, therefore they took him and crucified him

+ It was said that God had put the resemblance of Iesa on another man; therefore that man was crucified instead of Iesa

So I am saying that if that bible attributed to Barnabas existed before the fourteenth century, the ancient Islamic annotators wouldn't differ in the identity of the person who was crucified , and they would follow what was mentioned in that spurious bible which specified that person who was crucified saying that he was Judas

Chapter six

The attitude of Barnabas' Bible towards Islam

The alleged Barnabas' Bible contains many quotations from the Islamic religion and also very serious contradictions with it

First:

The quotations of the spurious Barnabas' Bible from Islam

The writer of that spurious Bible quoted a lot of information present in the Islamic religion, of them:

In (page 61, 62) he quoted the sentence "No God but Allah, (1 "Muhammad is the messenger of God

2) In (page 54): Satan refused to prostrate to Adam, this is quoted from" The Rocky Tract chapter (Surat Al-Hijr) 29-31: " when your Lord said to the angels: "I am going to create a man from clay … then fall

down prostrating ,so, the angels prostrated, all of them together. Except Satan, he refused to be among the prostrates"

3) In (page 8) he said that the Christ spoke while he was a toddler, that's quoted from what was mentioned in The Family of Imran chapter (Surat Aal-Imran) 46: "He will speak to the people in the cradle" Mary chapter (Surat Maryam) 24, 25:" Then he (Gabriel) cried unto her from below her, saying: "Grieve not! Your Lord has provided a water stream under you, and shake the trunk of date-palm towards you; it will let fall fresh ripe-dates upon you."

Second:

The teachings of the spurious Barnabas' Bible contradicting with Islam

1) in page 100 it mentioned that the skies are nine and the tenth one is the paradise, this clearly contradicts with Islam as it is saying that the skies are only seven as mentioned in The Journey by Night chapter (Surat Al-Isra) 44: " The seven heavens and the earth and all that is therein, glorify Him " it is known that the skies in Christianity are three :the sky of the outer atmosphere , the sky of the stars and the planets and galaxies or the outer space then the paradise as our teacher Saint Paul said in 2Corithians 12:2-4 " I know a man in Christ… such a one caught up into the third heaven. he was caught up into Paradise"so Barnabas' spurious Bible is contradicting with both Islam and Christianity

2) In page 85,110,270, it said the Christ proclaimed to the Jewish priests that he is not the messiah , but Muhammad who is coming after him will be the messiah , this contradict with Islam that never says that Muhammad is the messiah, but attested that the Christ is Iesa(Jesus) son of Maryam (Mary) as it was mentioned in many quran verses , as The Family of Imran chapter (Surat Aal-Imran) 45:" when the angels said: "O Maryam (Mary)! Verily, Allah gives you the glad tidings of a Word from Him, his name will be the Messiah 'Iesa (Jesus), the son of Maryam (Mary), held in honor in this world and in the Hereafter, and will be one of those who are near to Allah."

Part two

Proofs for the nullification of that spurious bible

What proves nullification of that alleged Barnabas' Bible is that it was :not mentioned in

Chapter one

The ancient codices and archaeology

That spurious bible was never mentioned in any codex of the ancient bible codices, dated to the pre Islam era as we explained in a previous :book, to remind you we will mention it again

:Of those handwritten codices the followings

The Vatican codex, preserved till now in the Vatican, dated to about (1 250 years before Islam
The Sinian codex that was discovered in Saint Catherine monastery (2 in Sinai, dated to more than 200 years before Islam, it is present now in the British museum
The Alexandrian codex, dated to about 200 years also before Islam, it (3 is also present in the British museum
The wisps of Qumran Valley: as Abbas Mahmoud Al-Akad wrote in Al- (4 helal book, in December edition 1959, the opening editor, under the title:" the treasures of Qumran Valley" he said: those archaeological wisps were discovered in one of the caves of Qumran Valley, in eastern wisps from 2000 years (that article was on1959) {i.e. before Jordan the appearance of Islam by more than six centuries} , after spreading them for inspection they showed that they include a complete copy of and many other holy books, those books are showing no Isaiah book minor difference between them and the holy books we have nowadays not a single difference or change in the text

Have you seen my brother the testimony of the archaeology who never lies for the veracity of the holy bible, and there is no single reference ,for that spurious Barnabas' Bible
What proves also the nullification of that alleged Barnabas' Bible is the :presence of

Chapter two

The historical errors

:In that spurious bible there are several historical errors, of them

In page 30, the writer of that alleged bible mentioned that at the (1 time of the Christ' birth ,Pilate was the governor of Judea

That's a grave historical error, as Pilate was not the governor during the time of the Christ 'birth, but he was during the time of the Christ' crucifixion, he ruled during the period from 26- 36 AC , that's had been affirmed historically (look the simplified Arabic encyclopedia, page " 470) , stating that "Pilate was the one who crucified the Christ

But the governor at the time of the Christ' birth was King Herod as mentioned in the true bibles (Matthew chapter 2), and also in (the simplified Arabic encyclopedia, page 1926) as it was mentioned that : "Herod the greatest ruled from 37 to 40 AC , during his time the Christ "was born

In page 30 also he mentioned that at the time of the Christ' birth (2 Caiaphas and Annas were the high priests of the Jewish

That's also a shameful historical error as those high priests were at the time of the Christ' crucifixion and not at the time of his birth, as mentioned in the true bibles (Luke 23) and also mentioned in (the simplified Arabic encyclopedia, page 1410) as it said:" Caiaphas was the "high priest who headed the Sanhedrim that sentenced Jesus to death

There are much more historical errors in that spurious bible, it will take much time to mention them but we mentioned only two of them

:And what also proves the nullification of the alleged Barnabas' Bible

Chapter three

The geographical errors

;Also there are several geographical errors of them

In page 19, 20,157,166 it mentioned that Nazareth and Jerusalem (1 were two seaports over the sea coast

That's a disgraceful geographical error, as Nazareth is a town in the northern valleys of the land of Palestine and not a seaport and Jerusalem lies over the mountain in the middle of Palestine and not over the sea coast

In page 261 it said that the farms and valleys in Palestine are beautiful in the summer season (2

That's a wrong statement that could never be written by someone who lived in Palestine, as it is well known that Palestine was Dependant on the rain water and the rain isn't falling in Palestine in the summer season so how the farms were beautiful
And in fact they are dry deserts, that's another proof affirming that the writer lived in another country not Palestine, where there Were rivers irrigating the plantation in the summer season making the farm beautiful by their flowers and greenness

We are mentioning those two examples only among the geographical errors

And what also proves the nullification of the alleged Barnabas' Bible :

Chapter four

The Social errors

In (page 105) there is a description of the duels taking place between the lovers (1

In Fact, those duels were not known in Palestine during the time of the Christ, they did not exist except in western Europe
Before the French revolution, i.e. before the eighteenth century, ,those duels were known as knighthood
That gives a clue for the homeland of the writer and the time of writing of that spurious bible

In (page 218) it mentioned that Judas the Iscariot, when they took him instead of the Christ (according to his allegation), they put On him a white robe (2

In Fact, the white robe was a sign of grief for the dead people in Andalusia (Spain name till the fifteenth century) { see Dhaher Al-Islam book by Mr.Ahmed Amen, part 3, page 8} that's affirming that the

author of the alleged Barnabas' Bible had lived in Spain and not in Palestine, and he was not present during the time of the Christ , but in the fifteenth Gregorian century as mentioned in the simplified Arabic encyclopedia,(page 354)

:And what also proves the nullification of the alleged Barnabas' Bible is

Chapter five

The included blasphemies

It said in (page 54) that: God said to the angels of Satan: repent and confess that I am your creator, they replied saying: we will Neither repent nor prostrate to you as you are unfair, but Satan is fair and innocent (1

In Fact, demons can't speak with God in such impudence and blasphemy, that's from the imagination of that forger author

In (page 83) it said that Satan will annoy Al-Mighty God till he knows that he was mistaking by expelling him from the heaven (3
(4

Have you ever seen a blasphemy and disbelief worse than that? How Dare Satan to tell God in his face such saying? It is possible for Satan to say blasphemies on God, but in God's presence he can never pronounce a single word, the holy bible is saying" The demons also believe, and shudder from the grandeur of God (James 2:19), they were shuddering in the presence of the Christ (Mark 5:7)

This was confirmed by the utterances of the Islamic scholars, among them Imam Muslim who said in (Mukhtar of Imam Muslim and Al-Nawawy explanation, page 571)" when Satan was looking at Iesa, The son of Maryam (Mary) he was melting like the salt in water"

:And what also proves the nullification of the alleged Barnabas' Bible is

Chapter six

The included superstitions

A big number of superstitions are included in that spurious bible, of them:

1) In page 54, 55,188 it said that God created a mass of dust by which he would create Adam but he left it for twenty five thousands Years, then Satan spit on it, so Gabriel came and lifted that spitting with some of that dust underneath, so by that way man had An umbilical pit in his belly

Actually those superstitions don't accord with the capability of God who orders the thing to be then it will be and he doesn't need 25000 years

Then, how did Satan spit? Had he got saliva and he had no material essence? The most amazing of all was the umbilical pit of the man being a result of Satan spitting, what an ignorance! Isn't the umbilicus of man a result of cutting of the umbilical stump that is nourishing the embryo inside the womb of his mother? Was Adam an embryo to have an umbilicus? The whole story is nothing but a superstition arising from ignorant imagination

2) in(page 60) he said : when Satan saw the horses in paradise he incited them to smash by their legs ,that piece of dust that Gabriel raised from the belly of Adam, then when the horses ran towards ,it God transformed it into a barking dog so the horses were terrified and fled away

What superstitions are those stories: didn't God create the dog among the creatures he created in the paradise? Did God need a dog to terrify the horses? Wasn't he capable of terrifying them himself if he wished? And what was the harm of that piece of dust for God to protect from the horses? Superstitions and superstitions

5) In (page 75) he said that the wise Solomon prepared a banquet for all the creatures, and then a fish came over every food in the banquet and ate them

How could a fish eat all of that food? Unless it was a whale! But what brought a whale to the palace of King Solomon? Unless it was in a yacht in the middle of the sea! In that condition we would ask how did the ants and other similar insects cross the sea towards that amazing yacht? Aren't they superstitions fabricated by the imagination of a superstition specialist? Aren't they similar to the stories of {thousands of night and night (Alf Leila Wa Leila)? actually what was missing for the fabulist editor after every superstition is to say:" by that time Shahrzad realized that morning had come, so she stopped talking"

And what also proves the nullification of the alleged Barnabas' Bible is

:

Chapter Seven

The included exaggerations

.

We will mention some of the exaggerations mentioned in that spurious book, the alleged Barnabas' Bible, without commenting on them because of limited time, but any sane person will easily realize the extent of that spurious exaggerations

1) **in page 35 he said: Adam and Eve wept on their sin for 100 years**
2) **in page 145 he said: during the time of Elijah there were 12 mountains inhabited by 17,000 pharisaics (knowing that pharisaics were not existing at that time but five centuries after Elijah)**
3) **in page 148 he said: the prophets of Baal killed by Elijah were 10,000 men**
4) **in page 135 he said : God ordered thousand thousand (a million) angels for sentry of the Christ clothes**
5) **in page 152 he said: the number of Roman Gods were 28,000 gods**
6) **in page 22 he said: the number of prophets sent by God to the world were 144,000 prophets**
7) **in page 213 he said: the people who had faith without deeds will stay in hell for 70,000 years only**
8) **in page 82 he said: Angel Michael will strike Satan 100,000 strikes , each of those strikes as strong as ten times the hell**
9) **in page 55 he said: each single eye will weep in hell tears more than the Jordan river**

:And what also proves the nullification of the alleged Barnabas' Bible is

Chapter eight

The included contradictions

In the alleged Barnabas' Bible there are internal contradictions among :its fake verses, among them

1) in (page 157) he said that Satan was showing an intense remorse for loosing the paradise , while in page 120 he said that Satan refused to say that 'O God, be merciful to me, the sinner that I am!'

Also there are many contradictions with the holy bible, of them:

2) in (page 65, 78, 89,305) he said that the Christ was replying everyone asking him a question about anything saying:" O crazy", ' O insane" which contradicts with the personage of the Christ who said: "learn from me, for I am meek and humble in heart"(Matthew 11:29)

3) In (page 98,244) he said that: King Herod and Pontius Pilate showed every respect and appreciation to the Christ and the high priest prostrated down to the feet of the Christ, that contradicts with what was mentioned in the holy bible as the high priest was against the Christ and he incited Pilate to condemn him and to sentence him for crucifixion

:And what also proves the nullification of the alleged Barnabas' Bible is

Part three

The testimony of the Islamic scholars For the nullification of Barnabas' Bible

Chapter one

Mister Muhammad Shafik Ghorbal

Concerning (Barnabas' Bible) it was mentioned in the Arabic encyclopedia known as (the simplified Arabic encyclopedia) under the supervision of the great scholar Muhammad Shafik Ghorbal, with the participation of many writers and thinkers of them: Sheikh Muhammad Abu Zahra, Dr: Ibrahim Madkor, Dr: Zaki Naguib Mahfûz, Dr: Muhammad Mustafa Helmi, Dr: Sohir Al- kalamawy ,Dr: Hassen Al-Saaty and others, it was published in Cairo on 1965 by Dar Al-kalam(the pen house) for press and publishing

It was mentioned in the statement of the manager of the publishing institution for that encyclopedia; Mr. Hassen Galal Al-Arousy {for every gracious work there is a person to foster, to care for and to support those caring for that work for its accomplishment, and this simplified Arabic encyclopedia is owed to president Jamal Abdul-Nasser, the president of the United Arabic Republic, as his Excellency kindley permitted issuing it in June 11, 1959, so the president agreement was the starting point for that work}

I am saying that it is so easy to rebut that issue of Barnabas' Bible by definite proofs requiring no comment ,and that exactly what was mentioned in the simplified Arabic encyclopedia concerning that issue :page 354 it said

Barnabas' Bible is a spurious book written by an European in the } fifteenth century, And in its description of the political and religious circumstances in Jerusalem during the time of the Christ were grave mistakes, It stated that Iesa proclaimed that he was not the Christ, but he came telling the glad tiding of the coming of Muhammad who will be {the Christ

This statement acquires its value being mentioned in a scientific book, edited by; as Mr. Hassen Galal Al-Arousy stated literally : { the execution of that project is attributed to the board of managers of the encyclopedia , composed of the greatest Arabic scholars, helped by a big number of experts and specialists , they exerted great effort in pouring their enormous knowledge and scientific potentials in the simplified Arabic encyclopedia}

This statement in spite of being very short it fulfils the saying:" the best of speech is the short and informative one" we can extract from it the ;following facts

What is known as Barnabas' Bible is nothing but a spurious book (1
Its writer is not one of the Christ followers as claimed by this liar in (2 his spurious book, but he was a
European
That book is not dating to the time of the Christ as claimed by this (3 scandalous man, but was written
In the fifteenth century
That book is full of grave political errors (4
Also it is full of grave religious errors (5
He claimed that the Christ denied being the Christ (6
He claimed also that the Christ said that he came telling the glad (7 !!!tiding of the coming of Muhammad who will be the Christ

Does any sane Muslim accept that saying??? Does any Muslim accept ???that Muhammad is the Christ

So whoever believes in Barnabas' Bible is actually discrediting the prophet of Islam and the noble quran that confirmed that Iesa is the !!!Christ and Muhammad is not the Christ

Chapter two

Mr. Abbas Mahmoud Al-Akad

Mr. Abbas Mahmoud Al-Akad wrote in Al-Akhbar (the news) newspaper :on 26/10/1959 saying

Many phrases mentioned in that mentioned bible was composed in a (1 pattern which was not known before the publicity of

Arabic language in Andalusia and the nearby areas
The description of hell in Barnabas' Bible depends on information (2
known lately and were not prevalent among Jews during the
Time of the Christ
Some of the phrases mentioned in it had leaked to the European (3
continent conveyed from Arabic sources
It is not accustomed for the Christ to proclaim the glad tiding in front (4
of thousands in the name of Muhammad the messenger of
God
In that bible it was mentioned repeatedly some errors that couldn't (5
be ignored by the Jewish who is knowing his books, and
Could never be uttered by the Christian who believes in the
accredited bibles and the Muslim who understand what included in
Barnabas' Bible as contradictions with the texts of the quran like
saying about Muhammad he is the messiah or the Christ

Chapter three

Mr.Khalil Saada

Mr.Khalil Saada who translated that spurious Barnabas' Bible into the Arabic language on 1908 AC, he wrote in his introduction of that :spurious book saying
All the historians agree that Barnabas' Bible was written in the } {intermediary ages

Chapter four

All the Islamic historians

The Islamic historians also testify that spurious Barnabas' Bible did not :exist till the fourteen Gregorian century as
All the Muslim historians till the end of fourteenth century documented that the Christian bible was that written by Matthew, Mark, Luke and John (Moroug Al-Zahab (the prairies of gold) by Abu Al-Hassen Al-Masoudy,part 1, page 161) and the book of the beginning and the end (Al-Bedaya Wa-alnehaya) by Imam Emad El-Din ,part 2 , page 100}, the book of { Al-kawl Al-Abrizy} by the great scholar Ahmed Al-Maqriesy , page 18} and the book of {Al-Tarikh Al-Kamel (the full {history)} by Ibn Alathir , part 1, page 128

Chapter five

Dr; Fatima Muhammad

That was also confirmed by the recent scientific references testifying that the gospels(bibles) are four, and they didn't mention Barnabas'

Bible(the youth encyclopedia by Dr; Fatima Muhammad, revised by Dr; Muhammad Khalifa Barakat) as it mentioned the following;(the gospels(bibles) are the first four books of the new testament , they are separate books , each one of them is telling the life story of the Christ { as narrated by Matthew, Mark, Luke and John
It is noticed here that she didn't mention that spurious book, named Barnabas' Bible

Important Question

Why Barnabas' Bible wasn't printed and published by any Islamic organization throughout all these years if it is the true bible as they believe??? Whoever knows the answer let him tell me to expand my !!!knowledge

I think after all of these explanations and the definite proofs, not a single sane person would dare to claim that Barnabas' Bible is the true bible , apart from the ignorant and illiterate, those whom are described by the holy bible " my people perished for lack of knowledge"(Isaiah 5:13)

We are asking God, beloved to protect you and ourselves from the ignorance and perishing, Amen

Part Four

The spurious Barnabas' Bible

And the foretelling of Prophet Muhammad

The writer of Barnabas'Bible was telling that the Christ foretold about !the coming of Prophet Muhammad
Also some bigots added that prophet Moses also foretold about Muhammad, and they said that the other gospels foretold about Muhammad and not only Barnabas'Bible

So did the Taurât (Old Testament) and the bible really foretell about the ?coming of Prophet Muhammad
Some Islamic annotators, among them Mr.: Rashid Reda in the Manar (the beacon) exegesis, part 9, (page 230-300) tried to claim that there are 18 prophecies in the holy bible:{ i.e. the Taurât (old testament)and the new testament} foretelling about the coming of Prophet !Muhammad

Actually they are prophecies about the coming of the Christ and the holy spirit , they tried to quote them, making them pointing to Prophet Muhammad , but the sophisms in them are very obvious like sunshine in the midday , it is really unnecessary to rebut them one by one as they really don't deserve that effort , but I am just mentioning three of them :as they are the most known among Muslims, they are

The claim that God told Moses about the illiterate prophet (Al-Omy) (1 meaning Prophet Muhammad {The rangers' chapter (Surat As-Saffaat) 148-159}

2) The claim that the prophecy of Moses saying that" The Lord your God will raise up to you a prophet from the midst of you, of Your brothers, like me. You shall listen to him"(Deuteronomy 18:15) is pointing to Muhammad

3)The claim that the Christ' saying about the Paraclete was pointing to Prophet Muhammad {the Rank chapter (Surat As-Saff) 6}

So let us explain those verses in details

Chapter one

The quran verse saying that God spoke to Moses About the illiterate prophet

Let us recognize those verses and discuss them quietly and logically with all our respect to the creeds of others:

The Heights chapter (Surat Al-A'raf) 148: In the stories of Moses with his people" And the people of Moses made in his absence, out of their ornaments, the image of a calf. And when Moses returned to his people, angry and grieved (To his saying). And Moses chose out of his people seventy men … he said: "O my Lord, if it had been Your Will, You could have destroyed them and me before; … so forgive us and have Mercy on us, Certainly we have turned unto you." He (God) said: My Punishment I afflict therewith whom I will and My Mercy embrace all things. That I shall ordain for those who are the pious, and give *Zakât* (alms); and those who believe in Our *Ayât* (verses), those who follow the

Messenger, the Prophet (Al-Omy), they find him written with them in the Taurât (Torah) and the Injeel (bible). Say "O mankind! Verily, I am sent to you all as the Messenger of Allah - to whom belongs the dominion of the heavens and the earth. *No god but him* It is He who gives life and causes death. So believe in Allah and His Messenger, the Prophet Al-Omy who believes in Allah and His Words, and follow him so that you may be guided. "

Those are the quran verses telling about the Prophet (Al-Omy), so let us discuss them with open mind and sound logic, through what was said by the respected Islamic scholars themselves

First: Mr. Muhammad Aza Drouza in his book (the new exegesis (tafsir), part 3, page 164) he said;

"Those two verses {telling about the Prophet (Al-Omy)} look contradicted with the sequence of stories of the people of Israel"

We are asking;

1) What does that mean?

It means that the verse 157 saying" those who follow the Messenger, the Prophet (Al-Omy)" is not going with the contexture of the verses before and after , so it is interceptive phrase, as Mr. Muhammad Aza Drouza said , as what is the meaning of God's saying to Moses that the recompense is not for his people but for the people of the Prophet (Al-Omy)?

2) And what is meant by his saying: they find him written with them in the Taurât (Torah) and the Injeel (bible)?

That's very amazing for God to say these words!! Did bible exist during the time of Prophet Moses for him to say: they find him written with them in the Taurât (Torah) and the Injeel (bible)?????!!!! Amazing

Second: for that reasons, most of the studiers are suggesting that this verse was interpolated in the contexture, which is degrading the credibility of the noble quran being miraculous in its enunciation

Third: the studiers are also saying that there was a misinterpretation, with good intention, in the reading of letters " the prophet Al-Omy' instead of the' the prophet Al-Aty' {N.B in Arabic language Al-Omy was interpreted as "the illiterate" while Al-Aty' means" the coming" and the Arabic pronunciation of both are very close), especially the writing at that time was without dots on the letters and actually that prophecy of Moses about the coming prophet it means the Christ , who declared himself in the gospel of John chapter 5, and verse 46,when he said "For if you believed Moses, you would believe me; for he wrote about me."

Fourth; By saying that, we are not discrediting the noble quran, but explaining that the quran narrators weren't infallible, our proof for that is what was committed by Othman who burned six qurans as they were not showing congruence with the one he kept with him, that quran was known as Ottoman's quran, and as a result of that action many turbulences occurred in which Othman himself was killed for that reason (see the simplified Arabic encyclopedia page 1187 , 1374)

Fifth; another fact that deserves mentioning it, is what we all know about the style of quran which is repeating its doctrines again and again in different chapters, aiming for stabilization and reminding , there are many examples for that which is beyond our field of study now but we are ready to specify for it another cession if you wish ,

Concerning the phrase of "the prophet Al-Omy" it doesn't exist in the whole noble quran {which is composed of 114 chapters, and 6235 verses}, I am saying that that "the prophet Al-Omy" was never mentioned except in one verse only, which proves really what was suggested by the studiers about the interpolation of that phrase or the occurrence of a misinterpretation, with good intention, in the reading of letters

Sixth; Actually the verse saying" they find him written with them in the Taurât (Torah) and the Injeel (bible).",on inspecting the Taurât (old testament) and the Injeel (New testament) we will not find any mentioning of "the prophet Al-Omy "and of sure the Taurât (old testament) and the Injeel (New testament) that we have nowadays is the same that existed during the time of prophet Muhammad and before him by more than two hundred years , we had proved that in previous cessions

So dear listener it is obvious that the saying of "the prophet Al-Omy" pointing to Prophet Muhammad is not true for the reasons previously mentioned

Chapter two

The claim that the prophecy of Moses about the lord who will raise up

A prophet from your brothers, was pointing to prophet Muhammad

Some proselytizers are claiming that prophet Moses by his saying in the book of Deuteronomy "your lord will raise up to you a prophet from the midst of you, of your brothers, like me. You shall listen to him"(Deuteronomy 18:15) he was foretelling the coming of prophet Muhammad, their point of view is the suffix "of your brothers" means the Arabs, as they are brothers of the people of Israel descending from Ishmael the son of Ibrahim

So Let us discuss that quietly, with a sound logic:

First: Actually the expression" of your brothers" is a clarification for the preceding suffix in the same verse" from the midst of you", So let us go back to the text to read it with understanding as it says:" your lord will raise up to you a prophet from the midst of you, of your brothers, like me. You shall listen to him, so the expression " from the midst of you" means that from the people of Israel, and the suffix " of your brothers" came as a specification after a generalization meaning to specify that the prophet will be from the tribe of Judah , which is one of the tribes of the people of Israel, so he was considered a brother to them, actually Ishmael is not amongst the tribes of the people of Israel , as Israel is James, and Ishmael was not the son of James, but he was the son of Ibrahim from Hagar, the Egyptian Handmaid, so he was considered an uncle for James from half brother, so he couldn't be called "of your brothers"?, actually it is a sophism, that is unacceptable by the holy bible studiers " ask the people of the Scriptures if you do not know." {The Prophets chapter (Surat Al-Anbiya')}

Second; there is also another remark in the verse said by Prophet Moses ,that's his saying:" to you" let us go back to the text of the verse to clarify that suffix, as the verse is saying " your lord will raise up "to you" a prophet from the midst of you, of your brothers, like me. You shall listen to him" so to whom was he pointing by saying:" to you"? of course he meant the people of Israel and not the people of Ishmael, as Prophet Moses was speaking to the people of Israel to whom he was sent, so he was saying "to you Israel" it is very obvious that Prophet Moses was not sent to the Arabs, and he was not speaking to the people of Ishmael for the suffix:" to you" to be considered pointing to the people of Ishmael

Third: Actually that prophecy said by Prophet Moses, he was pointing to the Christ, who declared that himself in John chapter 5, verse 46 " saying" For if you believed Moses, you would believe me; for he wrote about me."

Have you seen beloved how that allegation is baseless and devoid of any veracity

Beloved Let me quote to you from the noble quran this verse" And argue not with the people of the Scripture, unless it is in a way that is in good manner"{The Spider chapter (Surat Al-Ankabut) 46}

Chapter three

The claim that the Christ's promise about the Paraclete

Meaning the prophet Muhammad

What was the source of that claim?

1) It was mentioned in the noble quran in The Rank chapter (Surat As-Saff) 6: "And 'Iesa (Jesus), son of Maryam (Mary), said: "O Children of Israel! I am the Messenger of Allah unto you confirming the Taurât (Torah) which came before me, and giving glad tidings of a Messenger to come after me, whose name shall be Ahmed"

2) It was also mentioned in Ibn Hesham book of the prophetical biography, part 1, page 248 as an exegesis for that verse his saying: Yuhnis the discipline (meaning Apostle John) affirmed for the people of the bible that he (the Christ) said: whoever hates me, hates the lord from now on they became arrogant and thought that they will overcome me but what was said in the scripture must be fulfilled that " they hated me with no reason "so when the"Munhnma" will come ,who will be sent to you from God , the Holy Spirit , who proceeds from the Father, he will testify about me." Ibn Hesham added saying: "Munhnma" in Syriac language is Muhammad, and it is in Romanian (Greek) language means Paraclitos, peace upon him"

Let us as usual, dear listener discuss this matter with open mind, without sensibility and with all the respect for the creeds of everyone

First: Actually the name of the Arabic prophet in the noble quran is "Muhammad" as mentioned in many quran verses, of them:

The Family of Imran (Surat Aal-'Imran) 144:" Muhammad is no more than a Messenger and many Messengers have passed away before him"

The Confederates chapter (Surat Al-Ahzab) 40:"Muhammad is not the father of any man among you"

Muhammad chapter (Surat Muhammad) 2:" and believe in that which is sent down to Muhammad"

Victory chapter (Surat Al-Fath) 29:" Muhammad is the Messenger of Allah"

That's the name of the prophet of Islam as mentioned repeatedly in many quran verses

Second; this verse mentioned in The Rank chapter (Surat As-Saff) 6, is the only verse in the whole noble quran {which is composed of 114 chapters, and 6235 verses as we mentioned before},I am saying that this is the only verse that mentioned Ahmed , and if you would allow me dear listener to remind you here by what I have mentioned before about that worth mentioning fact , what we all know about the style of the quran which is repeating the doctrines again and again in many chapters aiming for stabilization and reminding , the examples for that fact are numerous that is beyond our field of study { but we are ready to specify another cession for that if the listeners wish }, so mentioning the name Ahmed in this sole verse with no single mentioning in the whole quran chapters at all , would affirm what was suggested by the studiers (as we mentioned before) about the interpolation of that name by the narrators ,By saying that, we are not discrediting the noble quran, but obviously the quran narrators weren't infallible from mistakes, our proof for that is what was committed by Othman who burned six qurans as they were not showing congruence with the one he kept with him, that quran was known as Ottoman's quran, and as a result of that action many turbulences occurred in which Othman himself was killed for that reason (see the simplified Arabic encyclopedia page 1187 , 1374)as we mentioned before

Third: it is known that the noble quran has many recitations, and in one of these recitations, what is known as the recitation of Obay (see the reference of the French orientalist BLACHERE) named (LE CORAN) (part 2, page 909) in that recitation, as this orientalist said there is no mentioning of the name "Ahmed "Isn't that a proof that this name was interpolated by some narrators in some recitations and not the others???

Fourth: Concerning what was mentioned by Ibn Hesham in the prophetical biography, part 1, page 248, that we mentioned before about 'Ahmed "being the Paraclete, that the Christ spoke about in the

gospel of the disciple John, we are saying that: the interpretation of the word Paraclete, as 'Ahmed " is a grave linguistic error and very exposed falsification, as the word Paraclete in Greek is pronounced PARAKLITOS, and its meaning is (the comforter of the believers during the time of their existence in the evil word), but the falsification committed by Ibn Hesham for the Greek word was the result of replacing some letters in the Greek word to be PERIKLITIS, that means the innocuous thing (Hamid or Mahmoud in Arabic language) from it the word Ahmad was derived, don't you see dear listener in that a trail of deliberate falsification for the word to coincide with the word mentioned in The Rank chapter (Surat As-Saff) 6, about" Ahmed"?

Fifth: Actually, Ibn Hesham was contradicting with the quran itself when he said that the *Rûh-ul-Qudus* (Holy Spirit) means Ahmed, by that he deviated from the spirit of the quran who said that the *Rûh-ul-Qudus* (Holy Spirit) is the angel (Gabriel) as mentioned in the Bees chapter(Surat An-Nahl) 102:"Say *Rûh-ul-Qudus* (Gabriel) has brought it down from your Lord with truth"

All the quran exegesis for that verse agree that *Rûh-ul-Qudus* is the angel Gabriel, as Imam Abdullah Yousef said in his tafsir(exegesis) page 664" *Rûh-ul-Qudus* is the title of the angel Gabriel, through whom the revelation of the noble quran came , so how did Imam Ibn Hesham fall into such grave mistake?? Does any sane Muslim accept that??

Sixth: Actually the word PARACLITOS in Greek, as mentioned in the ancient codices of the holy bible that are dating more than two hundred years before the Islamic era , it means the comfortable spirit i.e. the holy spirit that is comforting the believers in this evil world and transforming their sadness to joy and peace and their weakness into strength as mentioned in the Acts book, chapter1, verse 8:" But you will receive power when the Holy Spirit has come upon you"

Seventh: To proof the veracity of saying that of the Paraclete meaning the Holy Spirit, and not just a human, there are contextures mentioned in the holy bible in relation to the Holy Spirit, among them the Christ' saying about the Paraclete in John, chapter 14, verse16" he may be with you for ever" Is there any human, whoever he is could stay for ever ?

Eighth: Among the contextures also, the Christ' saying about the Paraclete, in the same previous reference, verse17, his saying:" "whom the world neither sees him nor recognizes him" does this match with any human, whoever he is? , as every human is visible and known , but the spirit is neither visible nor recognized

Ninth: Among the contextures also, the Christ' saying about the Paraclete, in the same previous reference, verse17, his saying:" But you recognize him, for he lives with you, and will be in you" does this match with a man coming after the Christ by six centuries ? So how the Christ was telling that he lives with you, as how does a human live inside the people, as the Christ was telling that he lives with you? Only the Holy Spirit, can live inside humans, if they seek him and open their hearts to him

Tenth: Among the contextures also, the Christ' saying about the Paraclete, in the same previous reference chapter 16, verse 14, his saying:" He will glorify me, for he will take from what is mine, and will declare it to you" the Holy Spirit glorifies the Christ, so that person claimed by Ibn Hesham to be the Paraclete, did he glorify the Christ, or instead he stripped the Christ from the divine aspect?

From all of these we can realize that the Christ didn't speak about "Ahmed" but about the Holy Spirit, who came unto the disciples and the believers

Finally

I want to make it clear, beloved that the catchword of our writings is meeting on a common ground, not hating each other or fighting each others by the arrows of stupid argument that results into hostilities as the holy bible said, so I don't want to go through what is evoked by some concerning that subject , or they may think it is a delinquency of me not to point to it, but I don't want that subject to be our subject of discussion ,what I mean is that saying concerning the false prophets , mentioned by the Christ in Mathew , chapter 24, verse 5,11. As he said:" For many shall come in my name, saying, I am the Christ; and shall lead many astray. And many false prophets shall arise, and shall lead many astray" as discussing such issue may hurt the feelings and increase the diversity and will not win souls, as we respect the creed of everyone and his opinion, and appreciate the value of everyone , wishing for everyone every blessing , happiness and grace

By this book we have concluded a series of amity book over the common ground, as we published books on:

1) **How is God one in holy trinity?**
2) **How could God incarnate in a physical human form (in the Christ)?**
3) **The concept of titling the Christ as the son of God**
4) **The verity of crucifixion of the Christ**
5) **The non falsification of the Holy Bible**
6) **The alleged Barnabas' Bible**
7) **Did Moses and Iesa (the Christ) foretell about the coming of the prophet Mohammed?**

Hoping that I was able to answer the questions of the beloved Muslims who asked us about these issues in a way away from complexity and impeaching

Asking the lord to bless this humble effort, to be a blessing for many, Amen