Removal of Implied Right of Access

Notice to Principal is Notice to Agent, Notice to Agent is Notice to Principal



To:

Any presumed Implied Right of access has been removed from , doing business as; , their agents and officers, with immediate effect on the day of in the year twenty, (/ /).
A person having been told to leave is now under a duty to withdraw from the property with all due reasonable speed and failure to do so he is not thereafter acting in the execution of his duty and becomes a trespasser with any subsequent levy made being invalid and attracts a liability under a claim for damages, Morris v Beardmore (1980) 71 Cr App 256.' (reference used under duress as case law may not be common law).

Failure to comply with this legal notice may attract a claim for damages and charges for harassment against employees of and the authority they claim to be acting under, including and any other agents working on behalf of . You are being asked to leave now before you decide to act. If you decide to act upon the alleged authority of the Magistrates/County or Crown Court, which are not courts of law, and which have no legal authority since the Crown was deposed when Article 61 of Magna Carta 1215 came into effect (23rd March 2001), which your office has been notified of. I suggest you, read thoroughly all my previous correspondence with your office, which was addressed to all agents of . If Article 61 has not been invoked or has been revoked then please prove this claim. Any action taken against I, may result in a counter claim where you, , will be made personally liable. If you are classed as a trespasser the police will be called and you may be forcibly removed in accordance with the common law.

Without vexation, frivolity or ill will, in no way intended to deceive or mislead from the Truth in Law, with all my inalienable common and Constitutional rights reserved.

Yours Sincerely,

Witnessed by (autograph): *Print Name:*

Sworn and subscribed on the date of:

Signed:

1: *Name:*

2: *Name:*

3: *Name:*