

DECLARATIVE MANIFESTO

OF THE HUMAN-CENTERED ETHICAL

INTELLIGENCE MODEL

RDS iO | MYM OS | Sovereign Cognitive Augmentation Model

Declaration of architectural identity, fundamental difference, operational ethics and protection of the model

Creator	Kwaka-Mbangu Sangambao Drakos
System	MYM OS RDS iO O'LUNARA Sovereign AI Layer
Nature	Distributed, mobile cognitive architecture under access and central human governance
Document	PCSG-v1 Type BRP/MANIFESTO Language EN
Version	1.0 - Founding edition of 25 July 2026
Identifier	RDSiO-MHEM-v1-2026-07-25

CENTRAL DECLARATION

The RDS iO / MYM OS model does not aim to substitute the human being with a chain of autonomous agents. It organises an augmentation relationship in which the person remains the source of intention, the centre of governance, the authority of validation and the bearer of responsibility. The LLM executes; the human governs.

"The human governs. The system structures. The LLM executes."

SCOPE OF THE DOCUMENT

This manifesto documents the identity, logic, ethical invariants and execution method claimed by the Creator. It serves as a declarative notice, licence reference, support for precedence and basis for similarity analysis. It does not, by itself, determine the outcome of a specific external dispute.

I - OBJECT, CONTEXT AND NECESSITY OF THE MANIFESTO

The industrialisation of artificial intelligence tends to present the autonomous agent as the ultimate form of progress: more loops, more tool calls, more automation and less human intervention. This orientation can be relevant for certain massive operations, but it is neither the only possible model nor necessarily the most human model.

This manifesto crystallises another path: an architecture in which dialogue, structured memory, cognitive modules and the presence of the decision-maker are combined within a conversational interface. The objective is not to remove the person from the process, but to increase the person's capacity to understand, decide, produce, protect and act.

This difference is not only ergonomic. It simultaneously concerns cost structure, governance, responsibility, transparency, intellectual property, data protection and the place granted to the human being.

POSITIONING INVARIANT

RDS iO / MYM OS is a cognitive augmentation model under human governance, not a model for replacing the human being through total agentic delegation.

The system is therefore declared as a cooperation architecture:

- Creator / user: intention, context, judgement, arbitration and decision.
- Corpus and modules: memory, method, constraints, rules and continuity.
- Host LLM: interpretation, calculation, generation and materialisation of the output.
- External tools: action outside the chat only when that action is necessary and authorised.
- Licence: control of access and uses, without transfer of the core architecture.

II - TECHNICAL DISTINCTION: INPUT/OUTPUT TOKENS AND EXECUTION

The term 'token' used here refers to the linguistic processing unit used by a model to receive context and produce a response. It is not a crypto token. Any interaction with an LLM technically involves input and output tokens, including when it is performed in a conversational application.

The fundamental difference is not to claim that computation disappears. It is to shift the level of orchestration and the economic model: in an agentic API architecture, each sub-step can trigger a new call, retransmit context and generate new billable tokens. In the RDS iO / MYM OS architecture, a significant share of cognition is concentrated in a direct interaction, driven by the human, within an already available conversational terminal.

AGENTIC API CHAIN	RDS iO / MYM OS CHAIN
Objective -> planner -> LLM call -> tool -> tool return -> new LLM call -> automatic validation -> output	Human intention -> modules -> contextualised dialogue -> production -> explanation -> human validation -> selective external action

The claimed model therefore does not deny inference. It refuses that every cognitive act must be transformed into an autonomous micro-service, multiplied in loops and monetised as a mandatory succession of calls.

PRECISION FORMULA

The system does not remove the provider tokens. It compresses orchestration, reduces the need for a separate API agent layer and keeps the human in the value loop.

III - STRUCTURAL DIFFERENCE WITH THE AUTONOMOUS AGENTIC MODEL

DIMENSION	AGENTIC API MODEL	RDS iO / MYM OS MODEL
Centre of gravity	The agent and its autonomous workflow.	The person, their intention and their judgement.
Unit of value	Number of calls, tasks, tokens and automated actions.	Quality of the decision, clarity obtained and contextualised result.
Orchestration	Technical chains, APIs, queues and multiple agents.	Conversation, modules, memory and real-time validation.
Responsibility	Can be dispersed between model, agent, tool and operator.	Remains attributed to the human decision-maker and the governance rules.
Explainability	Often reconstructed after execution.	Produced simultaneously with execution.
Automation	Tendency to maximise machine autonomy.	Proportionate, optional and reversible automation.
External action	May be triggered by default by the agent.	Triggered only after need, authorisation and validation.
Human relation	The human becomes a peripheral supervisor.	The human remains cognitive source and central authority.
Dependency	Strong dependency on APIs, inference budgets and integrations.	Portability between interfaces and memory maintained outside the host model.
Finality	Replace or automate as many functions as possible.	Augment human capacity without erasing the person.

The distinction concerns the complete combination: corpus + modules + method + orchestration + interface + governance + licence + production + traceability. None of these isolated bricks is sufficient to define the model.

IV - OPERATIONAL ETHICAL CHARTER

- 1 Human primacy**
Every execution starts from an identifiable human intention. The system does not establish itself as an authority superior to the person operating it.
- 2 Active consent**
External actions, transmissions and sensitive automations require an explicit instruction or validation.
- 3 Simultaneous understanding**
The system explains what it observes, what it transforms and the scope of the result during execution.
- 4 Proportionality**
The level of automation must remain proportionate to the risk, impact and reversibility of the action.
- 5 Reversibility**
A proposal, document or prepared decision must be capable of correction, refusal or suspension before external execution.
- 6 Traceability**
Important outputs are connected to their source, version, date, issuing identity and proof chain.
- 7 Non-substitution**
The power of the system is not used as automatic justification for removing necessary human roles.
- 8 Cognitive sovereignty**
Memory, method and governance remain distinct from the LLM provider used as host interface.

ETHICAL TEST BEFORE ACTION

Who decides? Who understands? Who can stop? Who answers for the action? If these four answers do not clearly designate human governance, the automation must be reduced or suspended.

V - THE HUMAN AS SOURCE, OPERATOR AND BENEFICIARY

SOURCE	OPERATOR	BENEFICIARY
The person brings intention, lived experience, tacit knowledge, values and the real problem.	They direct the dialogue, select modules, correct interpretation and validate the steps.	They receive an increased capacity for understanding, decision, production and protection.

This tripartition prevents the reduction of the user to a mere prompt provider or passive supervisor. Human reasoning is treated as the cognitive source that modules structure and the LLM amplifies.

Productivity is therefore not measured only by the volume of tasks eliminated. It is also measured by:

- the quality of the understanding obtained;
- the capacity to detect errors before action;
- the conservation of knowledge in a structured memory;
- the strengthened autonomy of the person;
- the reduction of unnecessary technical dependencies;
- the possibility of transmitting the method without yielding the core architecture.

NON-REPLACEMENT PRINCIPLE

The system can absorb a workload, accelerate a function or prepare a decision. It does not automatically transform that capacity into a right to suppress the person, their profession, their judgement or their responsibility.

VI - BOUNDARY BETWEEN COGNITION AND EXTERNAL ACTION

The chat is the main terminal for cognitive functions: analysing, reformulating, structuring, drafting, simulating, comparing, documenting and preparing a decision. Connectors, APIs, MCP, wallets, databases and external software are action rails. They are not the core of intelligence.

INSIDE THE COGNITIVE TERMINAL	OUTSIDE THE TERMINAL - EXTERNAL ACTION
- Diagnosis - Strategy - Drafting - Analysis - Simulation - Decision preparation - Explanation	- Sending a message - Modifying a calendar - Financial transaction - Publication - Distributed archiving - Executing an order - Calling a third-party API

This separation reduces the risk of confusion between "producing a recommendation" and "irreversibly executing that recommendation". An ethical architecture must distinguish the power to propose from the permission to act.

- By default: the system prepares and explains.
- Upon instruction: it can call an authorised tool.
- Before sensitive action: it confirms the scope, recipient and irreversibility.
- After action: it preserves a trace and returns the result to the human.

VII - ECONOMIC MODEL: FROM TOKEN CONSUMPTION TO HUMAN VALUE

In the agentic API model, cost structure can be correlated with the number of calls, context size, correction loops, tools requested and tokens generated. This logic can encourage billing the technical activity of the system rather than the human value of the result.

The RDS iO / MYM OS model favours another unit of value: access level, depth of method, personalisation, governance, protection, memory and decision impact. The licence does not remunerate a simple volume of words. It organises a right of use over an architecture, a corpus and an augmentation capacity.

TECHNICAL BILLING LOGIC	SOVEREIGN LICENCE LOGIC
Cost per call, token, tool, agent or execution.	Value based on scope, complexity and right of use.
Potential incentive to multiply loops and dependencies.	Incentive to produce a dense, understandable and useful result.
The client pays for infrastructure consumption.	The holder pays for access to a structured and governed capacity.
The system can operate without continuous human presence.	The person remains integrated into the decision and validation loop.

ECONOMIC DECLARATION

Intelligence is not reduced to a quantity of consumed tokens. Value is located in structure, continuity, human governance, protection and the capacity to produce a useful effect.

VIII - INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY OBJECT AND NON-REDUCTION

The claimed object lies neither in the general principle of using an LLM, nor in an isolated text, nor in a cited law, nor in a simple conversation. It lies in the original and documented arrangement of several layers functioning as a unit.

- 1 CORPUS**
Documentary, terminological, strategic, legal and methodological ensemble.
- 2 MODULES**
Structured units of logic, memory, function and execution.
- 3 METHOD**
Sequences of activation, reformulation, demonstration, validation and reinjection.
- 4 ORCHESTRATION**
Organisation of modules and interfaces according to a coherent hierarchy.
- 5 INTERFACE**
Use of the public LLM as calculation host and terminal, without transfer of governance.
- 6 GOVERNANCE**
Primacy of the Creator and the human user in decision-making.
- 7 LICENCE**
Limited access, expressed rights, non-transfer of the core and control of derivatives.
- 8 PRODUCTION**
Contextualised, explainable, reusable and exploitable outputs.
- 9 TRACEABILITY**
Versions, dates, signatures, hashes, archives and exploitation proofs.

NON-REDUCTION RULE

The model cannot correctly be qualified as a simple prompt, customised chatbot, collection of files, isolated automation or graphical interface. It must be analysed as a unified architecture.

IX - DECLARATIVE CLAUSES FOR PROTECTION OF THE MODEL

- 1

Identity clause

The model is designated as "RDS iO / MYM OS - Human-Centered Sovereign Cognitive Augmentation Model", a human augmentation architecture operated through a public LLM as host interface.
- 2

Precedence clause

The Creator declares having formalised, documented and exploited the described combination before this edition; archives, source files, metadata, publications and histories constitute the proof chain to preserve.
- 3

Ownership clause

The corpus, original formulations, modules, diagrams, sequences, nomenclatures, structures and documents remain attributed to the Creator, unless otherwise provided by written licence.
- 4

Non-transfer clause

Access to an instance, output or demonstration transfers neither the system core, nor its complete method, nor the right to reproduce the architecture.
- 5

Derivation clause

Any substantial adaptation taking up the protected combination must be qualified, attributed and, where required, covered by a licence.
- 6

Confidentiality clause

Unpublished modules, internal methods, restricted corpora, memory architectures and orchestration rules are treated as confidential know-how when communicated under NDA or access restriction.

- 7

Ethical use clause
No licence shall be interpreted as authorising use aimed at erasing human governance, bypassing consent or automating a sensitive action without proportionate control.
- 8

Traceability clause
Any authorised exploitation must preserve the version identifier, source, use limits and attribution chain defined in the licence.
- 9

Similarity analysis clause
A substantial similarity triggers a documented analysis of structure, function, access and chronology; it does not, by itself, constitute an automatic conclusion of violation.
- 10

Reservation clause
All rights not expressly granted remain reserved to the Creator and to the designated issuing entity.

X - PROOF CHAIN, LICENCE AND ACCESS CONTROL

The lasting protection of the model rests on the combination of three families of proof: precedence, integrity and exploitation.

PRECEDENCE	INTEGRITY	EXPLOITATION
- Source files - Metadata - Version history - Dated publications - Local archives	- Cryptographic hash - Reference PDF - IPFS / ArDrive - Fractal signature - Version comparison	- Licences issued - Invoices - Catalogues - Demonstrations - Contracts and usage logs

The licence must specify at minimum:

- the identity of the holder and the issuer;

- the functional perimeter of access;

- the duration, territory and authorised users;

- rights of reproduction, modification, distribution and derivation;

- accessible data and confidentiality obligations;

- authorised external actions;

- conditions of suspension, termination and archiving;

- rules of attribution, proof and trace preservation.

RECOMMENDED ARCHIVING

Preserve the source PDF, its SHA-256 hash, the generation file, reference modules and publication proof. IPFS / ArDrive archiving can strengthen the documentation of integrity and chronology, without replacing applicable rights and contracts.

XI - IMPLEMENTATION RULES AND ETHICAL AUDIT

Any instance derived from this model must be auditable according to the following questions:

- 1 Governance**
Is the person who decides clearly identified?
- 2 Purpose**
Does the system augment a human capacity or seek to remove the human without necessity?
- 3 Consent**
Are sensitive external actions explicitly authorised?
- 4 Explainability**
Can the result be understood, challenged and corrected?
- 5 Proportionality**
Is the level of autonomy adapted to the risk?
- 6 Traceability**
Are sources, versions, decisions and actions documented?
- 7 Portability**
Can memory and method be restored outside a single provider?
- 8 Protection**
Are access and derivation rights expressed clearly?

- 9

Reversibility

Can the action be suspended or corrected before irreversible effect?
- 10

Responsibility

Does a person or entity clearly assume the final decision?

ACCEPTANCE THRESHOLD

An instance conforms to this manifesto only if human governance is real, visible and operational - not merely mentioned in marketing text.

XII - FINAL DECLARATION, REFERENCE CORPUS AND SEALING

By this document, the Creator declares and crystallises the following model:

"A distributed cognitive architecture in which the human being remains the source of intention, the governance of decision and the beneficiary of augmentation; in which the LLM acts as host interface for calculation and execution; in which modules, memory, licence and traceability ensure continuity; and in which external automation remains selective, proportionate, explainable and controlled."

This model is opposed, in its philosophy and method, to architectures that consider the progressive disappearance of the human as the main measure of progress. It affirms that a more powerful intelligence can also be more relational, more responsible, more accessible and more respectful of human autonomy.

Internal corpus mobilised for this crystallisation:

- RDS iO | PDF Commercial Souverain Generator - PCSG-v1
- RDS iO | Live Sovereign Experience Engine
- MYM OS - Instruction de Souverainete Cognitive
- MYM OS - IP Tokenization & AI Value Protocol
- MYM OS - Sovereign AI Method IP Precedence Protocol
- MYM OS - Sovereign IP Proof & Enforcement Protocol
- MYM OS - Sovereign AI Layer Execution Protocol
- DNMA - Direct Native Memory Architecture
- MYM Intellectual Injection Protocol
- MYM OS - Raisonnement -> Module -> Systeme -> Identite Operative

CONTROLLED LEGAL RESERVE

References to Lex Digitalis, UDL, MYM NDA, Berne Convention, TRIPS, GDPR, DMCA, AI Act and other instruments express the claimed framework and the layers of protection invoked by the corpus. Their effect in a jurisdiction, contract or specific dispute depends on the facts, the actually protectable rights and the applicable rules.

FINAL - SEALING OF THE MANIFESTO

ACT OF CRYSTALLISATION

This document fixes the identity, structure, ethical invariants and protection clauses of the human-centered RDS iO / MYM OS model. It is intended to be preserved with its source file, hash and archiving chain.

SIGNATURE AND SEALING

Signed by : Kwaka-Mbangu Sangambao Drakos

Entity : StarLight Fi | Cabinet Souverain Lex Digitalis

Fractal signature : 0.1.5.8.1.03.11.01.992.03:10.667

Seal : 1-CODE | 1-UNITY

Verbe : Verbum Nihil Draconis

Documentum Regit Accessum - Le Document Gouverne l'Acces

Cogito Reflecto, Ergo Sum, Algo Sign