

NEW BLACK AFRICA

ONLINE NEWSPAPER

Liberating Black Africa From Tyranny Through Words of Freedom

EDITORIAL

**A FREE AFRICA WOULD
HAVE BOYCOTTED KING
CHARLES' CORONATION**

IN DEPTH

**FROM UKRAINE TO
NUCLEAR WAR:
AMERICAN
HEGEMONY AND
WW3**

LET'S TALK ABOUT IT

**MULTINATIONAL
CORPORATIONS AND
THE HYPER-ECONOMIC
EXPLOITATION OF THE
BLACK CONTINENT**

OPINION

**GIVE PEACE A
CHANCE:
DISBAND NATO!**



4 EDITORIAL

A FREE BLACK AFRICA
WOULD HAVE BOYCOTTED
KING CHARLES'S
CORONATION

Chucks Mensa

7 OPINION

GIVE PEACE A CHANCE:
DISBAND NATO!

Chucks Mensa

10 IN DEPTH

FROM UKRAINE TO NUCLEAR
WAR: AMERICAN HEGEMONY
AND WW3

Chucks Mensa

15 LET'S TALK ABOUT IT

MULTINATIONAL
CORPORATIONS AND THE
HYPER-ECONOMIC OF THE
BLACK CONTINENT

Chucks Mensa

18 HISTORY

*The Battle of Islandwana and
The Anglo-Zulu War of 1879*

BP PERRY

22 TRACKING THE JIHAD

*Summary of Jihadist activities
May 2023*

Sources: Varied



EDITORIAL

A FREE BLACK AFRICA WOULD HAVE BOYCOTTED KING CHARLES' CORONATION!

By Chucks T. Mensa
Photograph by Katie Chan, is
licensed under CC BY-SA 4.0

“

British monarchs have yesterday outrageously oppressed, plundered and exploited Black Africa before and during the colonization of our continent. Violently and unjustly appropriating for themselves vast swaths of Black African lands to the detriment of vanquished Black African populations.

”

EDITORIAL

On May 6th, 2023 the United Kingdom, celebrated the coronation of King Charles III, its 62nd monarch. And if that was a good day for the oppressive empire of Britain and its allies, denoting the longevity of its evil global imperialist clout.

The same couldn't rationally be said for the nations and entities which have suffered and is still suffering from the consequences of British crown's oppression of the world like the Black continent. For us this coronation should have been a non-event or rather one to be systematically boycotted.

I will never say it enough, Britain and all major Western nations are strategic and practical adversaries of Black African prosperity. The West is our geopolitical enemy, not an ally. But when it comes to the United Kingdom, its involvement on the Black continent has historically been more than horrific. British monarchs have yesterday outrageously oppressed, plundered and exploited Black Africa before and during the colonization of our continent. Violently and unjustly appropriating for themselves vast swaths of Black African lands to the detriment of van-

quished Black African populations.

Today too, the United Kingdom still engage in neocolonial activities in several Black African countries through the anachronistic Commonwealth, which allows its multinational corporations to exploit Black African wealth and while keeping a vast portion of it under British strategic control. A situation highlighted by the fact that British companies collectively control as much as 1 trillion worth of African resources. A truly freed and sovereign Black Africa, one which would have moved on decisively from her oppressive past, would have based on the above past and present situation, treated the coronation of another British monarch as a non-event. This would have been the right thing to do diplomatically.

Sadly, instead of doing that, the Black continent was massively represented at King Charles' coronation. More than two dozens African countries sent representatives while almost twenty Black African Head of States and governments were present to celebrate the British monarch's coronation. But why would the Black continent be celebrating the coronation of a British mo-

narch knowing the history of British involvement on our Motherland?

Nothing can explain such an act of diplomatic madness but the fact that the foundations of British imperialism are still firmly entrenched on the Black continent, strong ties of a neocolonial nature exist between the British crown and the present Black African political establishment. Behind the cover of false independence Britain's neocolonial interests are still strongly preserved on Black African lands, thanks to a treacherous Black African ruling elite totally subservient to foreign imperialist powers including the evil empire of Britain.

The disappointing positive response to the coronation of King Charles III by governments across Black Africa shows once again that we are largely led by sellouts, agents and little puppets of foreign powers. A ruling elite maintaining and glorifying ties with our foreign imperialist foes. Meanwhile just like in the case of France, the British spirit of neocolonial exploitation is well alive within its former Black African colonies.

What we must fully understand, is that for a new Black Africa to arise, the spirit of British imperialist domination and for that matter all forms of foreign imperialisms will have to be cast away from our Motherland. It's time for Black Africa to sever all diplomatic relationships with nations like the United Kingdom that have built their prosperity through our sub-

EDITORIAL

jugation.

Besides, in all Black African countries, it's time for us to repudiate the humiliating, pitiful and insane diplomatic vision of the present Black African ruling elite which consist of maintaining counter-productive ties with nations that are litteraly against us. A new radically different diplomatic approach of absolute opposition must define our relationships to our foreign enemies, in the case of the evil empire of Britain, it starts by dismantling the British Commonwealth in Black Africa.

On that particular matter, the question that must be asked is the following: Could the present ruling elite presiding over the destinies of the Black continent ever do that? I say no! Why? They are clearly too corrupt, spineless and subservient to British imperialism to do so.

Only the general removal of this neocolonial ruling elite from power could ever guarantee the emergence of a new Black Africa free from all forms of imperialist domination. It is not just Britain that maintains the greatest symbol of British neocolonialism in our epoch that is this hideous British Commonwealth in Africa, it is

the evil empire in complicity with too many of our own rulers, its local agents in power on the continent allowing the British Commonwealth to still have its grip on Africa.

Written by Chucks T. Mensa



OPINION

GIVE PEACE A CHANCE: DISBAND NATO!

AN ALLIANCE OF EVIL

By Chucks T. Mensa

Photograph by Gage Skidmore, is licensed under CC BY-SA 2.0

The present article is an excerpt from the very enlightening "Manifesto of the Black African Revolution, Vol.2 written and published by Chucks T. Mensa in 2022. It expresses some of Mensa's views about the most powerful military alliance in the world: NATO. This writing is more than ever important today, especially in the context of the war in Ukraine, a war triggered by NATO's expansion towards Russia's borders.



Opinion

Nato is a threat to world peace. It is the armed apparatus of the Western global hegemonic oppressive system dominating the planet currently. As the instrument of choice used by the West to implement its bloody military crusades and regime change operations, it has been involved in countless atrocities all over the world.

In Iraq, Afghanistan, Libya, Syria, Kosovo, Bosnia, Somalia and further beyond, Nato has brought destruction in the name of the safeguard of Western imperialist interests. It is a lawless brutal organization that has violated international law several times by violating the sovereignty of many nations in the name of spreading the fake and corrupt system of Western democracy, which is nothing more than a cult at this point.

The world is living under a global Western hegemonic system of dominance and Nato is the first rampart of protection of the global empire of lies. Don't believe the propaganda and the lying Western media, Nato is not a force of good but one of evil. Bringing together the very same White nations that have built their prosperity through colonization as well as the generalized historic oppression of people of colors.

Even right now, with the situation in the Ukraine, Nato is proving once again to what extent it is against world peace and striving in unnecessary provocative acts, incendiary rhetoric and other reckless activities aiming not at calming the situation but worsening it.

We must never forget that Nato's dangerous expansion towards Russia's borders has created the practical political conditions of the present conflict. Far from being unprovoked, the Russian invasion of the Ukraine has come as a logical consequence of Nato's antagonizing behavior towards the Russian Federation.

This is why, by using a conflict of which foundations it has carefully nurtured as a sinister political ploy to isolate Russia, the largest state in the world in size and nuclear capabilities, Nato is not just literally playing with fire, but also exposes to what degree it is truly a Russo-phobic organization that is fundamentally against Russia which it sees as a threat to the global Western imperialist chokehold of the planet.

Since its inception in 1949, Nato has always had the subjugation or the destruction

of Russia as its ultimate goal, either under the USSR or today the Russian Federation. It is indeed its Russo-phobic intrinsic nature that is defining its hostile policy against Russia at this very moment, not a desire for peace and stability in Eastern Europe.

Nato claims that Russia must be isolated over the Ukrainian crisis, while the West is sponsoring the murderous Zionist regime of Israel openly involved in colonization and apartheid in Palestine.

Likewise, Nato claims that Russia must be isolated for being an autocratic regime, while the West is sponsoring the house of Saud and its brutal monarchy in Saudi Arabia, while giving it weapons to commit war crimes in Yemen.

The hypocrisy of Nato and the West is all too apparent in the present situation. Nato's policies, goals and aims are fundamentally dangerous since they are ultimately geared towards the defense of an evil global Western imperialist regime of oppression.

By unjustly backing Russia into a corner, Nato is bringing the world one step closer to a nuclear apocalypse.

In the end, this all demonstrates that Nato is right now one of the greatest threats to world peace, it must be disbanded for peace to have a chance to become a global reality.

Opinion

Give peace a chance, disband
Nato!

*Written by Chucks T. Mensa
in "Manifesto of The Black
African Revolution, Vol. 2,
Chap.21*



IN-DEPTH

FROM UKRAINE TO NUCLEAR WAR: AMERICAN HEGEMONY AND WW3

By Chucks T. Mensa

Photograph by Ministry of Defense of
Ukraine is licensed under CC BY-SA 2.0

“

The G7 is not only a sick and twisted organization, but more so a very dangerous one. The decision to send F16's to Ukraine proves this point of view.

”

IN-DEPTH

During the last meeting of the G7, an organization composed of the United States and its vassal Western states abusively called allies, which took place in May 2023, the absolute moral bankruptcy of the imperialist West and the system of total American hegemonic domination that has ruled the world since World War Two was once again in full display.

For a meeting held in Hiroshima, a city that is in itself a testimony of American brutality, the G7 pathetically used the meeting to warmonger once more over the Ukraine crisis. Every sound thinking person knows that what is needed in the Ukraine is peace, which can only be achieved through de-escalation. Unsurprisingly, such a statement doesn't apply to the leaders of the G7, who decided to send more devastating weapons on the Ukrainian battlefield, while doubling down diplomatically on their hostile stance against Russia as well as refusing to even contemplate the prospect of honest negotiations to end the war.

The G7 is not only a sick and twisted organization, but more so a very dangerous one. The decision to send F16's to Uk-

raine proves this point of view. This decision taken during the G7 meeting in Hiroshima, certainly marks another major escalation in the conflict, demonstrating both the suicidal nature of American foreign policy and the fact that the collapsing American Empire is willing to risk a nuclear conflict with Russia (and China) in order to maintain its hegemony.

The heavy fightings that we are witnessing right now in the Ukrainian conflict are the first battles of World War Three. Russia and America are engaged in a proxy war in the Ukraine that will degenerate into a devastating global war unless a miracle happens.

In this simmering new world war, America is using the same tactic it has used at the onset of the two previous global conflicts that the world has experienced. Which is literally to avoid intervening directly at the beginning of the conflict, in order to let its opponents exhaust themselves in the war before intervening later on to strike a fatal blow to them, by joining the conflict actively.

This is what the US has done against Germany in WW1 as well as WW2. History is once again repeating itself because this is what the bloodthirsty

American hegemonic empire is doing to Russia in the Ukraine presently. Exhausting the bear in a proxy war in Ukraine with the prospect of invading it later down the line when it is sufficiently weakened enough.

Not unlike Woodrow Wilson and Theodore Roosevelt before him in the early stages of the world wars they faced, Joe Biden has also lied and gaslighted the American people and the world about the American government's true intentions in the Ukrainian conflict by claiming at the onset of the conflict, referring to the possibility of arming Ukraine in March 2022 that "The idea that we're going to send in tanks to Ukraine, that's called World War III", to verbally express the reluctance of his administration to deploy American weapon systems on the Ukrainian battlefield.

Well, fast forward to today, and the very things that the democratic despot Biden was describing as WW3 or at least a sure and not so long pathway towards it, are happening, with the bipartisan blessing of the American political establishment. Patriot Missiles, Bradley Fighting vehicles, M1 Abrams Tanks and now F16's giving Ukraine the capacity to strike deep inside Russia have been okayed for Ukraine.

Biden lied, to conceal the fact that America after baiting Russia in invading Ukraine after years and years of NATO's encroachment

IN-DEPTH

and build up of its military infrastructure closer and closer to Russian borders, the American empire has only at this point one desire: the destruction of the Russian Federation....and by the same logic the People's Republic of China, which both represent in a national state, the greatest practical threats to American hegemony.

These two nations that Washington considers to be a threat to its hegemony, are not just geographical behemoths, composed of vast lands and natural resources that play a central role in the present global economy. China is the economic manufacturing center of the world of today, while Russia under Putin has risen up from the chaos of the early post-Soviet collapse to assert itself as a gas, oil and overall energy powerhouse. They are indeed fundamental and strategic geopolitical actors equipped with powerful nuclear weapons, the only nations on the planet with the recognized and known potential capability of defeating the United States militarily.

Both Russia and China are de facto a problem from the standpoint of US imperialism, one only compounded by the

fact that these nations are waging right now an intense geopolitical struggle for the birth of what Vladimir Putin calls a multipolar world or the end of the unipolar led American world order.

Leading the BRICS, a conglomerate of powerful emerging capitalist economies that has now become as an entity the global counterforce to the American unipolar neoliberal world, Russia and China are both now engaged in a struggle for the ultimate reform (not the destruction) of the global neoliberal capitalist system.

This desire to restructure globalization and neoliberal capitalism, in order to switch it from an unipolar American led framework to a multipolar one, with De-Dollarization as the main goal is materially what pits the decaying American empire against the resurgent Chinese and Russian ones. The regional crisis in Ukraine and Taiwan both give an opportunity to the United States for destabilizing Russia and China so as to suppress the threat that they represent to the American empire.

That being said, at a pure geopolitical level we must never lose sight of the fact that the

issue underlying this clash is that of American hegemony. Another World War will happen because the global American status quo is being threatened like never before. Only a global war will decide of the fate of America's control over the world.

The American empire would cease without its hegemonic control of the world, the empire of lies is too decrepit to exist under any other framework, its addiction to hegemonic power will never allow it. Russia and China will have to be subjugated by any means necessary, sooner or later if America is to perpetuate its hegemony and avoid dying.

The reason being that the United States has for all practical purposes already collapsed economically, it is a bankrupt nation, with an eviscerated manufacturing base, drowning in debt, the largest in the whole world. A nation which has used the arbitrary global reserve status of its currency to finance its gigantic deficit and abuse the world economy for its selfish interests, while building the largest and most brutal military empire the world has ever seen with more than 800 military bases in order to hold the nations in terror.

A De-Dollarization of the world economy would lower the global demand of US dollars, and create the basis for hyperinflation never seen before inside the United States which will push the empire on its death bed.

IN-DEPTH

and build up of its military infrastructure closer and closer to Russian borders, the American empire has only at this point one desire: the destruction of the Russian Federation....and by the same logic the People's Republic of China, which both represent in a national state, the greatest practical threats to American hegemony.

These two nations that Washington considers to be a threat to its hegemony, are not just geographical behemoths, composed of vast lands and natural resources that play a central role in the present global economy. China is the economic manufacturing center of the world of today, while Russia under Putin has risen up from the chaos of the early post-Soviet collapse to assert itself as a gas, oil and overall energy powerhouse. They are indeed fundamental and strategic geopolitical actors equipped with powerful nuclear weapons, the only nations on the planet with the recognized and known potential capability of defeating the United States militarily.

Both Russia and China are de facto a problem from the standpoint of US imperialism, one only compounded by the

fact that these nations are waging right now an intense geopolitical struggle for the birth of what Vladimir Putin calls a multipolar world or the end of the unipolar led American world order.

Leading the BRICS, a conglomerate of powerful emerging capitalist economies that has now become as an entity the global counterforce to the American unipolar neoliberal world, Russia and China are both now engaged in a struggle for the ultimate reform (not the destruction) of the global neoliberal capitalist system.

This desire to restructure globalization and neoliberal capitalism, in order to switch it from an unipolar American led framework to a multipolar one, with De-Dollarization as the main goal is materially what pits the decaying American empire against the resurgent Chinese and Russian ones. The regional crisis in Ukraine and Taiwan both give an opportunity to the United States for destabilizing Russia and China so as to suppress the threat that they represent to the American empire.

That being said, at a pure geopolitical level we must never lose sight of the fact that the

issue underlying this clash is that of American hegemony. Another World War will happen because the global American status quo is being threatened like never before. Only a global war will decide of the fate of America's control over the world.

The American empire would cease without its hegemonic control of the world, the empire of lies is too decrepit to exist under any other framework, its addiction to hegemonic power will never allow it. Russia and China will have to be subjugated by any means necessary, sooner or later if America is to perpetuate its hegemony and avoid dying.

The reason being that the United States has for all practical purposes already collapsed economically, it is a bankrupt nation, with an eviscerated manufacturing base, drowning in debt, the largest in the whole world. A nation which has used the arbitrary global reserve status of its currency to finance its gigantic deficit and abuse the world economy for its selfish interests, while building the largest and most brutal military empire the world has ever seen with more than 800 military bases in order to hold the nations in terror.

A De-Dollarization of the world economy would lower the global demand of US dollars, and create the basis for hyperinflation never seen before inside the United States which will push the empire on its death bed.

IN-DEPTH

American hegemony cannot therefore tolerate anything other than an unipolar world, with the fake fiat US Dollar as the only global reserve currency. Without what is frankly an unjust global status quo being in place, America as we know it today would materially collapse overnight under the weight of its awful and humongous public debt, that now stands at a whopping 31 Trillion dollars.

Under America's unipolar system, no nation can be allowed to rise up to the point of fundamentally threatening the status quo and ultimately taking down the American hegemonic system of world domination without setting itself up on a collision course with the American empire.

Based on the developments of the current geopolitical situation, we can rightfully assert that Russia under Vladimir Putin and Xi Jiping's China are on this collision course. However, as far as Europe is concerned, the idea of destroying Russia, the largest country in the world has always been America's secret dream since at least the end of WW2. Either under communism with the Soviet Union or the

neoliberal oligarchic capitalist regime of Vladimir Putin, Russia has always been painted as a dangerous, deranged state by the Americans and the West in general. In fact the Western military alliance of NATO was created for the main purpose of pushing back against the so called Russian or Soviet threat as it was called back then.

The main purpose of NATO's existence is to go to war against Russia. Since 1949 at the beginning of the alliance to this day, this has always been the case. Even when the Soviet Union collapsed in 1991, NATO kept on with its shenanigans to encircle Russia militarily.

Instead of taking the collapse of the Soviet Union as an opportunity to lay the foundations of true peace in Europe, NATO took advantage of the collapse to unprecedentedly bolster its military posture in Eastern Europe and moving its military infracsturctures closer to Russia's borders by enrolling most former Soviet states and members of the Warsaw Pact within the alliance.

What the hypocritical Western press which feeds Western masses the lie that Putin invaded Ukraine for no reason,

cannot deny is the fact that the specific problem of the proximity of NATO's military infracsturctures to Russia has always been described as a major security problem for the Russian Federation by Putin himself. This standpoint was expressed over and over during many speeches of the Russian President to his Western counterparts throughout the years. The West refused to listen to Russian grievances.

At the center of the issue opposing Russia and NATO, Ukraine a country in the direct vicinity of Russia. A country ironically referred to back then as "Little Russia". A country split equally, almost to the middle between a pro-Western West and a pro-Russian East would prove to be the particular NATO'S expansionary move that Russia would not accept without fighting back: Thus the war in Ukraine.

A war precipitated by the overthrow of the pro-Russian regime of Viktor Yanukovich in a colored revolution organized by Washington in 2014. Some can even argue, that the war started back then, and they wouldn't be really wrong. Because it's the events of 2014 that will force Putin to intervene militarily in Crimea as well as to bolster his support for pro-Russian factions fighting in Eastern Ukraine against the then pro-Western regime of Poroshenko (the regime that succeeded Yanukovich's and preceded Zelensky's).

IN-DEPTH

The few serious diplomatic attempts that were launched to resolve the conflict peacefully like the Minsk agreements were actually dishonest in their very nature and set up as former German Chancellor Angela Merkel publicly admitted "...to give Ukraine time" to build up its military infrastructure with the help of NATO.

Can Russia truly be blamed for not wanting NATO's military infrastructure in its vicinity? We all remember in October 1962 when the United States was willing to blow up the world in order to secure the removal of Russian nuclear missiles from Cuba. Weren't those weapons removed? Yes, they were. So why then the double standard? Why can't NATO back off of Ukraine like the Soviet Union backed off of Cuba in 1962? Why is the West risking a confrontation with the most powerful nuclear armed state in the world over the Ukraine? The answer is simple: American hegemony.

The African peace mission in Ukraine will not succeed for the very reason that the United States which is now fighting Russia through Ukraine, will not stop throwing gasoline on the fire until Russia lose the war and is defeated. On its part, Russia will not accept to lose

the war without resorting to the usage of its most destructive weapons. And now that weapons allowing Ukraine to strike deep inside of Russia have been issued, it is only a matter of time before the war in Ukraine goes nuclear.

In fact, after having poured so much money and weapons of mass destruction in the conflict, America's standing will be irreparably diminished on the world scene if Russia was to prevail in Ukraine. Its prized military alliance NATO would most likely collapse in Europe, while the United States itself will inevitably be sidelined and finally dethroned by China geopolitically.

For Russia a defeat would now mean the overthrow of the regime of Vladimir Putin and the transformation of the country into a totally emasculated nation held at gunpoint by NATO at its doorstep. We must also not rule out the serious possibility of a violent destabilization and subsequent breakdown of the Russian Federation as the result of a potential Russian defeat in Ukraine.

Both the United States and Russia have at this point their backs against the wall over

the Ukrainian conflict. This is why the constant escalations provoked by the American side and its poster boy Zelensky, such as the destruction of Nord-Stream, the attack on the Crimean bridge, the Kremlin and Moscow drone attacks or the now frequent incursions of Pro-Ukrainian Russian rebels armed with American weapons inside Russian borders, will ultimately lead to a confrontation between NATO and Russian forces in Europe, in simpler terms: World War Three. That will have for major political cause the concept of American hegemony and indeed the irrational intransigence of the decaying American empire in its quest for unrestrained and unrestricted power over the world.

By recklessly escalating the situation and de facto increasing the risks of nuclear conflagration over the crisis in Ukraine, just to preserve its hegemony, the American empire and its military alliance of NATO prove themselves to be the greatest threats to world peace existing right now.

Written by Chucks T. Mensa



LET'S TALK ABOUT IT

MULTINATIONAL CORPORATIONS AND THE HYPER- EXPLOITATION OF THE BLACK CONTINENT

By Chucks T. Mensa
Photograph by Gage Skidmore, is licensed
under CC BY-SA 2.0

The present article is an excerpt from the very enlightening "Manifesto of the Black African Revolution, Vol.2 written and published by Chucks T. Mensa in 2022. The article expresses Mensa's views about the impact of multinational corporations on the Black continent and the Black African economy in general. It is an enlightening text exposing the danger that represents neoliberal capitalism for the future of our Motherland.



LET'S TALK ABOUT IT

Multinational corporations are dangerous for the economic life of the Black continent and certainly represent some of the greatest obstacles to its potential economic liberation and restoration. They are nothing more than parasites exploiting our natural resources for their selfish gains while the masses of our people, who are the rightful owners of the African wealth, are left to live in poverty.

The global capitalist economy in which we are living has laid the foundations of this hyper-exploitation of Black African resources by a plethora of foreign corporations which have total control over the wealth of our Motherland. In every single Black African country, strategic resources are the possessions of foreign imperialist powers which under the guise of international commerce and global economic co-operation plunder the natural resources of the Black Continent.

Due to the treacherous nature of our ruling elite, the Black African Motherland has been sold out to foreign capitalist forces and an overall global capitalist economic system centered around the everlasting exploitation of Black Africa.

As economic agents of foreign imperialisms, multinational corporations have subverted too many states and republics on Black African soil to not be considered enemies of Black African sovereignty. The largest Black African economy in terms of GDP and most populated nation on the continent Nigeria is a perfect example of what I'm talking about. Nigeria is a neocolonial republic totally subverted by the multinational Shell which has complete control over its politico-economic destinies through an absolute dominance over the nation's oil, its major economic import. It is not a secret that whoever controls the Nigerian oil, controls Nigeria, well Shell owns Nigeria and rules it behind the smokescreen of false democracy.

In South Africa, which is the second Black African economic giant, the diamond industry is in the hands of De Beers, a multinational corporation created by the Jewish Oppenheimer family. The situation is no less different in Black African republics with smaller GDP. In Liberia for instance, the American giant Firestone still calls the shots by having the whole rubber industry of the country under its thumb.

In Swaziland Coca-Cola has critically captured the Kingdom, which it has turned into a client state. In the meantime, in French speaking Black Africa, companies like Bolloré hold a tremendous political and economic sway due to French neocolonialism in many countries.

Corporations steal from us and rob us blind continuously with the blessing of our own governments that have allied themselves with these foreign economic forces of destruction to defraud the people of Black Africa of the humongous wealth of their Motherland. As a clear consequence of the present Black African economy that was designed to maintain Black Africans in poverty while our treacherous rulers and their foreign masters enrich themselves, the economic life of our masses has been obliterated. We will never rise up economically under the present framework. We are in an economic war, we have been in an economic war for centuries, all for the absolute control of Black Africa's resources.

The present economic system of neo liberal capitalism under which we are operating will ensure that we will never break free from the chains of economic oppression and material misery. We are therefore left with two options. Completely separate from the global economy at an external level and liquidating the foundations of capitalism internally.

LET'S TALK ABOUT IT

Multinational corporations in our context are part of that global system of exploitation, their one and only motto is the following: "how to steal as much money as possible from Africa". They see our Motherland as a big field of exploitation to maximize their business profits. The time has come for a total shift in our economic affairs, We don't need more economic aid, more economic cooperation, more globalization, more free market or more foreign investments, we must rather separate completely from the global economy, repudiate globalization and charter for ourselves a new economic path, away from the capitalist nihilistic economy that is destroying the planet as we speak. From Black Africa must come forth a new era of resistance against the great threat to humanity that is capitalism, for this I advocate the thorough liquidation of capitalism and globalization across Black Africa.

Multinational corporations on their part have committed all sort of crimes against the Black people of Africa, they have stolen our resources, exploited the labor of our masses, they have caused terrible environmental destruction in many parts of the Motherland.

Like in the ecological disaster that is today the Niger Delta where Shell has literally committed one of the worst ecocides ever witnessed in history with consequences that will affect us for decades to come.

Let's face it, exploitative greedy multinational corporations don't have any place in Black Africa, they are not at all contributing to the economic restoration of the Black Motherland. The historic priority is for the continent to be first and foremost totally liberated from its century-long economic slaughter at the hands of the major powers of this world.

***Written by Chucks T. Mensa in
"Manifesto of The Black African
Revolution, Vol.2, Chap.24***



BLACK HISTORY

THE BATTLE OF ISANDLWANA AND THE ANGLO- ZULU WAR OF 1879

THE INVADERS' PERSPECTIVE

By BP Perry, published on SKY-
History

NBA

At eleven o'clock in the morning of January the 22nd 1879, a troop of British scouts chased a group of Zulus into the valley of Ngwebeni in Zululand. The scouts stopped dead in their tracks when they saw what the valley contained. Sitting on the ground in total silence were 20,000 Zulu warriors. It was an astonishing sight.

The battle that followed this remarkable discovery was a disaster. It hadn't meant to be this way. When the High Commissioner for Southern Africa, Sir Henry Bartle Frere, came up with the flawed idea of annexing the British-friendly kingdom of Zululand into a greater South African Confederation by force of arms, he presumed Zulus armed with spears, clubs and shields would be no match for the mighty British Army.

Without bothering to seek the permission of the British government, Frere issued the order to attack the lands ruled over by King Cetshwayo, a reasonable, thoughtful ruler who

Black History

had regarded the British as his friends until Frere cynically engineered him into a position of being unable to accept Frere's unreasonable demands.

When Cetshwayo failed to agree to Frere's ultimatum to disband his army, Frere grasped his chance to invade. Chosen to lead the invasion was Frederic Thesiger, the 2nd Baron Chelmsford. Lord Chelmsford massively underestimated how many men he would need to take into Cetshwayo's territory. So confident was Chelmsford of an easy victory that he took with him a mere 7,800 troops. His plan was to invade Zululand with three columns of infantry, artillery and native cavalry, with each column heading off through different sections of Zululand to engage Cetshwayo's army. The ultimate goal was the capture of Ulundi - Cetshwayo's capital.

The central invasion column was under the direct command of Chelmsford. It headed out from the mission station of Rorke's Drift in the British-held territory of Natal on the 11th of January, crossing the Buffalo River into Zululand. By the 20th of January, all three columns had progressed into the kingdom unopposed, with Chelmsford's central column



King Cetshwayo

reaching the hill of Isandlwana, where the fateful decision was taken to make camp.

Against official military policy, Chelmsford did not order the camp to be 'laagered' - the practice of circling the column's support wagons to create a makeshift fort behind which troops could form a defensive position should an attack occur. Instead, on the morning of the 22nd, Chelmsford left just 1,300 troops guarding the camp as he took a sizable number of his men off to attack what he thought was the main Zulu army. In reality, the small numbers of Zulu warriors Chelmsford's scouts had spotted and reported back to the general were a ruse devised by Cetshwayo's commanders to draw out Chelmsford and then attack his forces from behind with the bulk of the main Zulu army.

The ruse worked, and the overconfident aristocrats mar-

ched 2,800 soldiers away from the camp, splitting his forces in two.

While Chelmsford was off chasing an imaginary Zulu army, the real one moved to the valley of Ngwebeni. Back at the British camp, Lieutenant-Colonel Henry Pulleine was in charge of the camp's defence. Pulleine was an administrator, not a soldier, and it was his inexperience that contributed to the disaster that was about to unfold.

Pulleine could have been replaced at 10:30 that morning when Colonel Anthony Durnford arrived from Rorke's Drift with five troops of the Natal Native cavalry and a battery of rockets, bringing the camp's fighting strength up to 1,700 men. Durnford, a seasoned soldier, was Pulleine's senior, and tradition in the army dictated that he should have taken command. He chose not to do so, leaving a much less experienced man in charge.

When the attack came, it came quickly. The minute the encampment at Ngwebeni was discovered by British scouts, the entire Zulu army sprang into action. The plan was instantly changed from attacking Chelmsford's rear to attacking the camp at Isandlwana. Word reached Pulleine that a large Zulu force was approaching fast and in huge numbers. As the warriors began to arrive over the horizon, they started to muster into an 'impi' - the traditional Zulu formation of three infantry columns that toge-

Black History

ther represented the chest and horns of a buffalo. The central column of the impi headed directly for the camp, while the two 'horns' of the left and right columns fanned out on either side of the camp to encircle the British.

Pulleine sent all six companies of the 24th Foot out to engage the central Zulu column head-on. At first, the extended British firing line held the attack off with considerable ease with help from the two mountain guns of the Royal Artillery. The legendary Martini-Henry breech-loading rifle was more than a match for an attacking force armed with spears and clubs, and with a firing rate of twelve rounds per minute, the experienced soldiers of the 24th Foot were able to hold the central column of the Impi at bay, inflicting heavy casualties on the Zulu side, forcing many to retreat behind Isandlwana hill to shelter from the hail of shells and bullets.

Unfortunately for the soldiers holding the line against the Zulu central column, the horns of the impi began to make headway against less experienced opposition. Durnford, defending the British right flank, had already lost his rocket battery and was now haemorrhaging troops. Unlike the regular soldiers of the 24th Foot, Dun-

ford's forces consisted of African troops who were not fully armed with Martini-Henry rifles. Only one in ten of Durnford's rank and file troops bore firearms, and even then they were armed with inferior muzzle-loading rifles. Faced with certain death or escape, Durnford's men began to leave the battlefield before they could be fully encircled and cut off by the impi.

With Durnford's troop numbers diminishing fast, so their rate of fire began to drop. This drop in fire meant more Zulus were able to press against Durnford's defensive line, pushing it back towards the 24th Foot who were still holding the central column of the impi at bay. As Durnford's men retreated back against the left horn of the impi, the 24th Foot's right flank, which up until this time had been protected by Durnford, was now dangerously exposed. Realising he could no longer hold the line against the central and left-hand columns of the impi, Pulleine ordered a fighting retreat back to camp. This was done in an orderly fashion by the stout regulars of the 24th. Unfortunately, Durnford's retreat was anything but orderly, completely exposing the flank of the 24th's G Company, which was quickly overrun and butchered by Zulu

warriors.

As the remaining troops fell back to the camp, the skies above them darkened. A solar eclipse occurred at 2:29 that day, turning the skies black for several minutes. When the sun returned, not one tent was left standing in the camp and the area was now a killing round.

The final stand was a brutal affair. British soldiers stood back-to-back, furiously stabbing away with their bayonets as wave upon wave of Zulu warriors thrust at them with their spears and battered them with clubs. Screams rang out across the camp as soldiers were stabbed and clubbed to death where they stood.

Durnford and a valiant band of native infantrymen and regulars of the 24th Foot had managed to keep the two horns of the impi from joining up by defending a wagon park on the edge of the camp. They could only hold on so long, however, and as their ammunition ran out, they resorted to hand-to-hand combat until they were overwhelmed. Durnford's body was later found surrounded by his men, all stabbed and beaten to death.

Pulleine fared no better than Durnford. His body was never formally identified, and he is said to have either died early on in the fighting after the retreat to the camp, or in one of the desperate last stands that took place before the end of the battle where the remaining soldiers fought on

Black History

until they were overwhelmed and killed.

As the remnants of the camp began to flee, no quarter was given to the remaining British and native soldiers. Those attempting to flee were cut down as they ran, while those lying wounded on the ground were stabbed and clubbed to death. The trail of butchered British soldiers reached right back to the Buffalo River – the very same river where Chelmsford's men had so confidently crossed into Zululand a mere eleven days before.

As the enemy melted away, taking rifles, ammunition, artillery and supplies with them, the extent of the massacre became clear. Of the 1,700 men tasked with defending the camp, 52 British officers, 806 rank and file soldiers and 471 African troops had been killed. On the Zulu side, an estimated 2,000 lay dead. The Battle of Isandlwana was - and remains to this day - the worst defeat ever inflicted by a native force on the British Army.

As the Zulus left the battlefield in triumph, 4,000 of them split from the main army and headed for the mission station at Rorke's Drift. There, 150 British and colonial troops fought off

wave after wave of attacks for ten grueling hours before the Zulus finally retreated. Eleven Victoria Crosses were awarded following the station's remarkable survival.

Isandlwana was a humiliating defeat for a British government that hadn't even ordered the attack on Zululand in the first place. When news reached home both of the massacre and the valiant defence of Rorke's Drift, the British public was baying for blood. The government duly obliged their vengeful subjects and in just under six months, an enlarged invasion force had conquered Zululand. The kingdom would remain a British protectorate for the next eighteen years until it was annexed and absorbed into Natal in 1897.

And what of Cetshwayo, the courageous king who stood up to the might of the British Empire and won the day? He was captured following the Battle of Ulundi on the 4th of July 1879. He was exiled first to Cape Town, and then to London. His gentle nature charmed many in that city, and his treatment by Bartle Frere and Lord Chelmsford started to be roundly condemned by many in polite society. If this is how we treat our friends, many of them wondered, what does that say about us?

Cetshwayo returned to Zululand in 1883. He died on the 4th of February 1884 and is buried in a field near the Nkunzane River in what is today modern South Africa. He was the last king of an independent Zululand; a friend and unwilling foe of the empire on which the sun never set."

Written by BP Perry in "SKY History"



TRACKING THE JIHAD

SUMMARY OF JIHADIST ACTIVITIES IN BLACK AFRICA

May 2023

Sources: Varied

Photograph by Saharan Kotogo, is licensed under
CC BY-SA 4.0

NBA

**THERE IS A RELIGIOUS WAR
RAGING ON THE BLACK
CONTINENT. A WAR IMPOSED
UPON US BY THE FORCES OF
RADICAL ISLAM, IN THIS
SECTION OF THE NEWSPAPER
WE TRACK THE ACTS OF
VIOLENCE COMMITTED
AGAINST BLACK AFRICA BY
THESE RADICAL ISLAMIC
GROUPS.**

TRACKING THE JIHAD (MAY 2023)

May 6th to May 28th | Niger

On May 29, 2023, the Nigerien army announced that a joint operation with the Nigerian army had taken place, which lasted 22 days and ended on May 28, 2023, during which the forces operated against an ISIS stronghold in the town of Arege, about 40 km southwest of the Nigeria-Niger-Chad tri-border area. A total of 55 ISIS operatives, including several senior ISIS operatives and religious leaders, were killed (Barron's, May 29, 2023).

8 May | Nigeria

The African Coalition forces operated against ISIS positions in the Lake Chad area. There were exchanges of fire between the forces and ISIS, and a car bomb driven by a suicide bomber codenamed Omar al-Ansari was detonated.

10 May | Nigeria

On May 10, 2023, at 7:00 p.m. local time, ISIS operatives with a car bomb attacked Nigerian army and African Coalition forces in the Lake Chad area, about 40 km southwest of the Nigeria-Niger-Chad tri-border area. The forces, recognizing

the danger, opened fire at the car bomb, and it blew up about 300 meters in front of them. A total of 10 ISIS operatives were killed in the exchange of fire between the sides and with Nigerian Army air support. Two ISIS vehicles were destroyed (Zagazola, May 11, 2023)

11 May | Mozambique

ISIS operatives abducted a Christian civilian in the village of Miangliwe, in the Muidumbe region, in the northeast of the country. He was executed.

11 May | Cameroon

ISIS operatives attacked a Cameroonian army compound in the town of Jigagi, in the north of the country. The soldiers fled.

11 May | Nigeria

Four civilians were shot at about 7 km from Damboa. Three civilians were killed and one was wounded (Daily Trust, May 12, 2023).

14 May | Burkina Faso

The village of Youlou, in the department of Cheriba, Mohoun province was attacked by Jihadists killing 33 civilians.

14 May | Nigeria

Two car bombs were detonated against a convoy of African Coalition (MNJTF) vehicles in

the Lake Chad area and near the Nigeria-Niger border. After the explosion of the cars, ISIS operatives opened fire in an ambush. Three soldiers were killed and ten were wounded. ISIS reportedly also sustained losses (Zagazola, May 15, 2023).

17 May | Nigeria

Gunmen attack US Embassy convoy in Anambra State. Two police officers and a driver were kidnapped. 4 people were killed.

19 May | Burkina Faso

20 Civilians killed in two Jihadist attacks in the town of Koulpelgo.

19 May | Democratic Republic of the Congo

An attack was carried out against the Christian village of Katula, in the Beni region. A total of 26 civilians were kidnapped and executed. Other civilians, including the village head, were wounded. Several homes were set on fire.

19 May | DRC

ADF Attack in Virunga Park kills 4 including 3 Rangers.

TRACKING THE JIHAD (MAY 2023)

21 May | Mali

Operatives of Jamaat Nusrat al-Islam wal-Muslimeen (JNIM, the Support Group for Islam and Muslims), Al-Qaeda's branch in the Sahel region, attacked a Malian army checkpoint near the border with Mauritania. A soldier was killed .

23 May | Cameroon

Boko Haram operatives attacked a village in northern Cameroon, near the border with Nigeria. A Cameroonian army force and a local militia halted the attack. Two Boko Haram operatives were killed and many were wounded. A local militia fighter was killed (Big News Network, May 23, 2023).

23 May | Democratic Republic of the Congo

ISIS attacks the village of Lubahimba in Eastern Congo, killing two civilians.

24 May | Democratic Republic of the Congo

ISIS attacks the village of Kabasiwa in Eastern Congo, killing one civilian.

25 May | Democratic Republic of Congo

ISIS attacks village of Kanyana in Eastern Congo killing more than 15 civilians, injuring many others.

25 May | Democratic Republic of the Congo

ISIS attacks the village of Killia in Eastern Congo, killing 5 civilians.

25 May | Nigeria

ISIS Operatives carried out an attack using IED's against a foot patrol of the Nigerian Army in the town of Baga about 30 km West of the Nigeria-Cameroon-Chad border area. Three soldiers were killed.

26 May | Mozambique

There were exchanges of fire between ISIS operatives and a Mozambican army patrol in the village of Tandako, in the Macomia region, in the northeast of the country. One soldier was killed.

26 May | Somalia

On the morning of May 26, 2023, Al-Shabaab operatives attacked an African Union Transition Mission (ATMIS) base about 90 km southwest of Mogadishu. The attack lasted several hours, and according to residents in the area, loud explosions and heavy exchanges of fire were heard. Al-Shabaab operatives were then seen patrolling the area. According to a Somali army officer, heavy losses were sustained on all sides (The East African, May 26, 2023). Al-Shabaab claimed responsibility for the attack, announcing that its operatives had taken over the base and that a car bomb had been used during the attack. The organization noted that 137 Ugandan army soldiers, who are a significant part of the ATMIS forces, were killed in the attack and others were taken prisoner (MEMRI, May 26, 2023; TRACTerrorism, May 26, 2023).

27 May | Nigeria

Terrorists fleeing from Zamfara State, attacked and abducted residents in Birni-Gwari (Kaduna State)

27 May | Nigeria

Jihadists attack Malam Fatori near the border with Niger, several soldiers killed or wounded.

