

What happens after:

I DO NOT COMPLY, I DO NOT CONSENT OR JUST SAY NO?

Dictionary: Standard Oxford English Dictionary, any use of Legalese, Dog Latin, American Sign Language or other languages are void in the context of this document.

Removing Consent and Not Complying is Laudable if there is an end game strategy to fill the void that is left, else it is naive at best, cynical at worst... so... Which Solutions are Designed for your Freedom and It's Protection?

How do you tell whether an individual or movement is truly working in your loved one's favour, 'energy harvesting' the fruits of your well earned labour, or naively guiding you through the NWO plan towards one world Govt?

It is worth noting at this point, that the NWO always intended the vaccines to be exposed and for the people to demand that Govt and Corporations are collapsed as a result. Many of the 'truth movement' players (Mark Sexton/Andrew Brigden etc.) are operating in this space, with a tell-tale dogged bias to 'Legal Courts' and the Stockholm Syndrome symptoms of protesting to a corrupt Govt for remedy. So those highlighting the vaccine and looking to take down this Govt aren't necessarily on your side, what are they proposing as the end game solution?

As we get to the peak of the current tussle between control and freedom, a number of people will be presenting and releasing various solutions and attempts to rally people in many directions, some true and for your benefit and others to control you further, imagine if you will, a permanent climate emergency and lockdown/s to compliment it. Those seeking to misguide you will appear to be like your friends and allies, but there will be tell tale signs in the design, structure, objectives and ultimately the outcome of their energies.

Freedom/Sovereignty and Justice have some key principles that in England are enshrined in the ancient laws and customs of the land. Over time these have been captured in various documents including, but not limited to the British Constitution and the ancient process of Annulment by Trial by Jury (4000+ years in Irish Brennan Law from the Shamanic/Pagan Times before the Abrahamic landed).

Annulment by Trial by Jury, this sentence is just to repeat those words so we remember them, Annulment by Trial by Jury is the gift of the people's independent Judiciary that puts an end to ALL current corruption and issues, provides immediate remedy for future issues and caps ANY Government, Parliamentary or any organisation claiming to be for the people, of the people, by the people. Why are most of these campaigns not explaining this ultimate status and power of the people as the next step after withdrawing consent?

I will attempt to explain it, in simple English in the paragraphs below, if it appears too technical keep skimming through. There are some simple summaries capturing the essential points, since Truth Sovereignty Freedom and Justice are not at all complex. "Don't do unto others what you don't want done to you". For more information you can also look on: <https://www.commonlawconstitution.org/>

The British Constitution is the foundation of Authentic Democracy on Earth, and no we don't mean voting in elections here otherwise known as suffrage, we are referring to real democracy. Democracy protects the right for each individual's freedom of choice to exercise their inalienable rights and live however they wish to live, freely, without government meddling as described by Sir William Blackstone;

"By the absolute rights of individuals, we mean those which are so in their primary and strictest sense; such as would belong to their persons merely in a state of nature, and which every man is entitled to enjoy, whether out of society or in it. But with regard to the absolute duties, which man is bound to perform considered as a mere individual, it is not to be expected that any human municipal law should at all explain or enforce them. For the end and intent of such laws being only to regulate the behaviour of mankind, as they are members of society, and stand in various relations to each other, they have consequently no concern with any other but social or relative duties. Let a man therefore be ever so abandoned in his principles, or vicious in his practice, provided he keeps his wickedness to himself, and does not offend against the rules of public decency, he is out of the reach of human laws. But if he makes his vices public, though they be such as seem principally to affect himself, (as drunkenness, or the like,) then they become, by the bad example they set, of pernicious effects to society; and therefore it is then the business of human laws to correct them. Here the circumstance of publication is what alters the nature of the case. Public sobriety is a relative duty, and therefore enjoined by our laws; private sobriety is an absolute duty, which, whether it be performed or not, human tribunals can never know; and therefore they can never enforce it by any civil sanction.² But, with respect to rights, the case is different Human laws define and enforce as well those rights which belong to a man considered as an individual, as those which belong to him considered as related to others."

Source: Sir William Blackstone, *Commentaries on the Laws of England in Four Books*. Notes selected from the editions of Archibald, Christian, Coleridge, Chitty, Stewart, Kerr, and others, Barron Field's Analysis, and Additional Notes, and a Life of the Author by George Sharswood. In Two Volumes. (Philadelphia: J.B. Lippincott Co., 1893). Vol. 1 - Books I & II.

CHAPTER I.: OF THE ABSOLUTE RIGHTS OF INDIVIDUALS.

In summary our Constitution basically enables each of us to choose the laws under which we want to live, providing you cause no loss no harm and no injury to another man/woman. It is important we demand and fight for this, regardless of who is in power or organising the initiative.

Any solution being proposed by an organisation or group must have a process which enables the body of people (the public or the fans of a club etc.) to hold the seat to the highest court within that organisation, in order to uphold its divine/sacred principles that are dear to the body of the people that the organisation was created to represent and protect.

For solutions to be valid and inline with the Ancient Laws and Customs of England, they should be in line with the measures that our ancestors put in place to block tyranny. Then they need to feature the following attributes or principles:

The 3 Pillars

Governing a Nation requires 3 Key Pillars, Legislature, Executive, Judiciary

Note: Each of the 3 pillars should be run completely independently (including their treasuries) otherwise it absolute power is created, and absolute power corrupts absolutely, as we can now see around us.

1. Independent Legislature: write the rules, acts and statutes, to coordinate the people and activities so that the realm runs smoothly i.e. road system. They cannot legislate anything

which contradicts or infringes on your inalienable rights, to do so is heading into the Realm of Treason in the Constitution.

2. Independent Executive: uphold the law and active acts or statutes internally and externally to the borders/scope of the realm, Police, Military etc. are within this pillar

3. Independent Judiciary. The Independent Judiciary is made up of the entire body of men and women of the people from whom the Jurors for a case are drawn (e.g. the Public, minus those exempt for whatever reason). Important: When someone refers to 'The People' vs [A Defendant] in a court of Common Law, the phrase 'The People' can and does only refer to the people who were in the pool of Jurors from whom the Jury were selected. If that is only 12 people who are also in the Jury then 'The People' only refers to the Jury itself so that would be a pretty biased case, the wider the pool the more valid the Jury's verdict, this is very important.

THE INDEPENDENT JUDICIARY

Listed below are 6 key principles that need to be present in any solutions being presented as by the people for the people and protecting all the people;

1. Trespass upon the Inalienable rights of the British Men and Women

Annulment by Trial by Jury focusses on Lawful claims against governing bodies such as the Legislature, Executive and Judiciary. This is the Law, which deals with Living Men and Women. (Legal deals with the breach of contractual obligations of corporations and non-living entities. Legal and Lawful are NOT the same thing, don't be mislead, the establishment don't like it being exposed, but there is plenty of information) (LOGIC: The Living are differentiated from the Dead, because they have different, contrasting rights i.e. a living man/woman should have superior claims/rights to a corporation, makes total sense and protects living people from 'soul-less corps')

2. Empathy driven Freedom of Choice

In the case of Coronavirus Lockdown, when Government passed the 'Coronavirus Act' which proposed Lockdown it infringed on the inalienable rights of the people. Businesses were denied their right to trade/earn a living and provide for their own families.

In this instance a business owner should have been able to convene an independent court to hold the Govt to account and challenge the 'Coronavirus Act'. In this court case a selection of twelve people from the public would have been assembled. To be a fair reflection the Jury is made up of pro and anti policy souls, those that agree and disagree with the policy and only one Juror has to agree that the policy infringes on their rights for the policy to be annulled.

LOGIC: Jurors are not asked IF they would have kept their business open, they are asked IF they had chosen to keep their business open then would it have been fair for the Government to force them to close it and head towards poverty for an unknown period of time?

Note: the subtle but important difference is in the wording, the Juror is not asked their opinion of the Claimant/Defendant's choice, they are asked IF they were to have made the same choice for the same intent, then is it fair for the action to be taken? It is about Freedom of Choice, NOT pushing one agenda or another.

3. Democracy protects your right to choose how you live, what you eat, drink, inject, smoke, how you pray, who you pray to etc, is all up to you providing you don't cause others loss, harm or injury.

LOGIC: Protects the Right to Free Will, your right to choose, so you can do what you want, unless it causes loss harm or injury. It does not force or protect one particular agenda or another apart from ensuring freedom of choice where harm is not being caused to those that don't consent.

4. Each Individual is Protected

Protected Hermit Dynamic, even if one soul chooses to live up in a remote region, alone and apart from society, without any government hindrance they can do so and the rest of the body of people protect that soul's right to do so and he protects their right to live how they choose. In the context of Government Legislation, in reality Govt can't pass law, only acts and statutes but never the Law itself, as the Law is for your Inalienable Rights, the Legal is for the rules you choose to take on from the Legislature, hence you are Governed by Consent.

If one Soul feels their Inalienable Rights have been trespassed upon, then the Legislation is Annulled by the Jury in that case. Democracy protects every minority right from the singular individual by default, everyone is included and fully protected.

(LOGIC: Democracy is people determining the rules under which they live, down to the individual every minority is accepted by default as a Sovereign until they cause loss harm or injury)

5. Highest Court in the Land

LOGIC: The role of an Independent Court is to protect absolutely against governing bodies. It is the highest court and last verdict of the people and therefore it keeps the people Sovereign and Free, protected from Tyranny in a simple logical manner.

This level of court or board should be a feature in any organisation claiming to be democratic and/or by the people for the people. In these situations the people that are the mass body (public, fans at a club etc.) should have the power to hold to account and potentially annul anything they feel goes against their rights, in that given dynamic.

Obviously in the case of a living man or woman operating in the community during day to day life, these refer to their inalienable rights, in the case of a football or sports club it would be whatever principles they had agreed in place such as local ownership, when they formed the club, but the principle is the same albeit on a different scale/model.

Note: John Smith's CLC is not independent but controlled by him, this is also a key logical deficiency in Marc Horn's Peacekeepers' solution which insists on attending govt run courts with auditors a complete non-starter in a hard lockdown scenario.

6. The Only Lawful Judges are Jurors

LOGIC: only the innocent living souls affected by the verdicts of a court, can provide the verdict of that court, especially if they are going to be a free people not ruled by Tyranny.

For the same reason that you need an independent court system with control of the highest court of the land, those Judging must also be independent. This is achieved by drawing a spectrum of twelve souls from the body of the people affected by the proposed legislation. If you have Courts and Judges controlled by the Government they will always operate to their paymaster's agenda, even if audited.

Note: This is a key failure in John Smith's Common Law Court, the Jury are not a valid Jury for national verdicts or even impartial ones. 'The People' in the case of CLC/John Smith does and can only refer to those who are aware of the advert for Jurors, i.e. those that look at the website or those who can gain access to the notices on the front doors of the CLC building in Dundee, which is on a private medical estate, behind barriers and

gates. Thus John Smith's CLC is totally void ab initio and has no jurisdiction. The good people that signed with the right intent need to hold court within the CLC, take control of CLC and boot John Smith out and run it properly based on real Natural Law principles. If John resists, it proves he is not democratic but tyrannical. This is also true of any Common Law courts which have been run in GB, Ireland or anywhere else in the world thus far. None have a wide enough pool of people to select Jurors from for them to be valid in a lawfully meaningful context. We will get there as people begin to understand this and look to organise to regain their true power and prosperity.

OUTRO:

There is a lot to do to fix the mess that has been created including rebalancing the population so that those that have been displaced globally by forced exoduses due to military operations and mass 'invasi-gration' (invasive-immigration) can be relocated as appropriate. For further information research The Kalergi Plan.

We must remain focussed on the head of the beast which is the court system and the fact you can't get justice (plenty have proven that in the last three years at least) unless you have a fair and just court system and that can never be provided by those that are writing the rules for people to live under.

It is true that you need to remove your consent and say NO. However when you do, you also need to bear in mind what you are going to fill the void with. We must know what Freedom and Sovereignty look, feel, smell, taste and sound like, so that you can filter and select solutions which are logically compatible and protect you. Not because you think you like the personality of the character fronting it, GCHQ/CIA Common Purpose etc. use characters that will appeal to you. Cut through the fallacies and focus, it is time to grow up and shed this celebrity culture that lead us into this cul-de-sac like the Pied Piper of Hamelin. Many of the characters at all levels out there today may move and change sides as the battle continues, watch carefully but don't get drawn in.

To Neutralise Controlled Ops:

1. Follow No One (Question everything/everyone always)
2. Write No One Off (Censor nothing from yourself, regardless of the source)
3. Take each piece of information on it's own merits applying critical thinking and analysis (<http://www.triviumeducation.com/>)

Freedom, Sovereignty, Truth, Justice, Never Give Up the Dream or Fight for these Ultimate Prizes, Do Not Settle for second best, this is for the Future of the Generations to come...