Notice of Interest

John Smith
12 Whitestripes Place
Bridge of Don
Aberdeen
AB22 8WA

claimant

Coral Hallett
Senior Manager
Carey Pensions UK LLP
1st Floor Lakeside House
Shirwell Crescent
Furzton Lake
Milton Keynes
MK4 1GA

respondent

Date: 18.04.16

Notice to Principal is Notice to Agent;
Notice to Agent is Notice to Principal

This fiduciary interest in the property, real and moveable, of respondent arises from a complaint of a fraud. Surety for the value of this Notice of Distress is the respondent's public indemnity insurance bond and, if this is insufficient, all of the respondent's personal and private property to the value of this Notice.

For the avoidance of doubt, claimant intends to make a civil claim valued at £20,500.00 (twenty thousand, five hundred pounds).

Respondent has twenty one (21) days to respond.

The Commercial Lien Process

A Common Law Commercial Lien is a process that any Human Being can employ in order to obtain ***lawful*** remedy from the actions of another Human Being(s) who have – or have attempted to – ***or have conspired to*** - damage said Human in some way. The reason for this is very simple: Since all are equal under the LAW, then each Human Being has a Duty of Care to each other Human Being, such as to make sure that – whatever action we take towards each other – we have the Common Law behind those actions, and thus can live together in peace. Abrogating said Duty of Care is a CRIMINAL ACT, and constitutes a tort.

I believe that you have created a tort, or torts, against My Human Self.

The process comprises:

1. The subject of the harassment (myself in this case), will write a Statement of Truth (Affidavit), ***under penalty of perjury***. This being the case, what I will write will be ***"the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth"***, and will thus be ***based on first-hand knowledge***.

2. You will be sent a copy of this Affidavit, comprising my allegations. You will have to REBUT EACH POINT in order to ward off the possibility of a Lien. You will be given 30 (thirty) days to do so, but I can assure you that you will not be able to rebut EVEN ONE SINGLE POINT. You will need to rebut by means of a sworn Affidavit of your own, written under the same criteria, namely: ***From first-hand knowledge, and under penalty of perjury***.

3. Any points you manage to rebut will be removed from my allegations, and the remainder kept as my final Affidavit. The result will be Notarised (by a Notary Public) to become My Statement of Truth, which will not only become THE TRUTH, IN LAW – but will also become A JUDGMENT, IN LAW.

4. That being the case, no Hearing will be required. ***Because the judgement has already been made by the truth.*** (That's Common Law!)

5. I will then place a Public Advertisement, warning whomsoever may be concerned, that your creditworthiness is henceforth highly suspect. I will inform Credit Reference Agencies to this effect. I would then be **LAWFULLY ENTITLED TO SIEZE ANY OF YOUR PROPERTY**, up to (and including) the value of the Lien.

6. This process will occur in a LAWFUL manner – because you are given the chance to REBUT IN SUBSTANCE - and I will thus retain entirely 'clean hands.'

7. As footnotes, I should add that

    a. Even if I make an honest mistake, WHICH YOU FAILED TO REBUT, my mistake BECOMES THE TRUTH, IN LAW. You will not be able to claim 'libel', 'slander', etc, because you were given thirty days to rebut the allegations, before public announcement.

    b. By a failure to REBUT IN SUBSTANCE you would have tacitly acquiesced to my Statements as Truths, in Law.

    c. REBUT IN SUBSTANCE does not comprise simply dismissing my allegations. That is mere gainsaying. "IN SUBSTANCE" means "accompanying with HARD proofs" (in this case, "to the contrary").

8. Being Common Law construct, the only way this Lien can be removed is:

    a. By Full Payment … in which case I will remove it

    b. The passage of 99 years

c. The verdict of a Jury of 12, deciding that the Lien should not have been imposed. But this will require YOU to take ME to a Court de Jure (Common Law Court) … whereupon I will be able to explain (to said Jury) exactly how you took actions which comprised the tort(s) against me WITHOUT ANY LAWFUL EXCUSE WHATSOEVER. DO NOT, UNDER ANY CIRCUMSTANCES, ASSUME THAT ANY JUDGE CAN REMOVE A LIEN. A JUDGE CONNOT DO THAT, AND JUDGES KNOW THAT (because it is a Common Law, NOT A STATUTORY, process)

This was your last and final warning. If I receive a further communication from any of your Agents by means of mail, phone call, or knocks on my door, then I will undertake the Commercial Lien process against those individual(s) to whom this Notice is addressed.

That being the case, I suggest that you take full Notice of this Notice. You will find, in the future, that you will need to contend with this Commercial Lien process more frequently as time goes on, and more people discover it.

Sincerely, without ill-will, frivolity or vexation,

John: of the Smith family, as commonly called, Sovereign, and subject SOLEY to The Common Law.

Without any admission of any liability whatsoever, and with all Natural Indefeasible Rights reserved.